

**13<sup>th</sup> Annual PATHWAYS Student Research Symposium**  
**ORAL and POSTER Presentation Judging Rubric**

**Presenter's Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Poster Presentation Number:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Student Classification:**   ☐ Undergraduate   ☐ Master's   ☐ Doctoral

Category	1 - 3	4 - 6	7 - 9	10 - 12	SCORE
<b>Abstract</b>	Unable to connect abstract to oral presentation.	Somewhat able to see connection of abstract to research/presentation. Abstract did not contain sufficient information	Abstract adequately summarized the student's research. More information would have been beneficial.	Abstract strongly summarized the student's research. Clearly supported topic presented and contained important points.	<b>Abstract</b>
<b>Poster Organization and Appearance</b>	Unable to understand link between information presented and topic of research. Not visually effective.	Poster was acceptable but needs work to improve visual appeal and organization through better utilization of fonts, colors, headings, and white space.	Poster organization was adequate but could improve effectiveness through better use of space through font size, colors, headings, and white space.	Visually appealing and strongly effective presentation. Easy to read. Utilized creativity in use of fonts, headings, colors, and white space to provide sequential information from introduction to conclusion and references.	<b>Poster Organization and Appearance</b>
<b>Presenter's Oral Presentation</b>	Presenter was poorly prepared. Demonstrated problems in several areas (no eye contact, no clear discussion of research, lack of professionalism).	Presenter did not convey a sense of confidence or ability to clearly discuss the research problem, methods, conclusion, and implications. Additional practice would be helpful.	Presentation and demonstration of understanding was acceptable. Demonstrated some problems (speaking too softly, use of Jargon, hesitation, inability to handle questions, etc.)	Presenter was confident and professional. Established eye contact. Clearly conveyed research problem, methods, conclusions, and implications. Answered questions well. Discussed research in layman's terms or appropriate to judge.	<b>Presentation</b>
<b>Poster Content</b>	No or poor connection between poster content and purpose of study, research hypothesis/question(s), method, conclusions, or implications.	Content presented was difficult to understand and did not sufficiently convey a connection to the study, research hypothesis/question(s), method, conclusions, or implications.	The content was adequately presented but support for the study, research hypothesis, or question(s) is somewhat general. Conclusion and implications were reasonable.	Strong material. Well summarized. Clearly shows development of study or research. Material appears to accurately support purpose of study, hypothesis, or research question(s). Strong conclusions and implications presented.	<b>Poster Content</b>
<b>Grand Total (Maximum of 48)</b>					

**Judge's Name (Please Print):** \_

**Feedback:** \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_