



**Applications and Applied Mathematics:
An International Journal (AAM)**

ISSN: 1932-9466

<http://www.pvamu.edu/aam>

AAM Manuscript Review Evaluation Form
Please complete (with additional sheets, if necessary) in
MS Word format and return.

Dear Reviewer,

Please note that review must be conducted confidentially. The manuscript you accepted to review should not be disclosed to a third party. If you wish to elicit an opinion from colleagues or students regarding the manuscript, please solicit permission from the AAM Editor-in-Chief. AAM does not share the identity of the reviewer with the authors. This form will stay confidential with the Editor-in-Chief. You should not attempt to contact the author.

Please be assured that when you submit your review, any recommendation you make will contribute to the final decision made. It might be more helpful to the authors and the decision making if you detail your comments. **Your detailed and specific REPORT other than completion of the FORM will help the authors to revise their manuscript, if they have to, and will help the Editor to make a fair decision.**

Your time and efforts are highly appreciated. Please send the completed form with all your attachment sheets to amhaghighi@pvamu.edu.

Today's Date: _____

Manuscript's Number: AAM-R _____

Manuscript's Title: _____

Authors' Names: _____

Authors' Affiliations: _____

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification (MSC): Primary _____ Secondary _____

Reviewer's Name: _____

Reviewer's Affiliation: _____

Reviewer's E-mail: _____

Date the Review was Assignment: _____

Date the Review Report Submitted: _____

Please answer each question by inserting a check mark in appropriate box and provide detail in its place below.

1. What are the novel contributions of this manuscript, if any?

	Question	Answer		
		Yes	Needs Revision	No
2.	Does the Title of the manuscript reflect the contents? If not, please suggest changes in item 2* below.			
3.	Is the quality of language (grammar, spelling, punctuations, and English structure) sufficient to easily understand the manuscript? If not, please indicate some examples in item 3*.			
4.	Does the Abstract read well? If not, please give suggestions to modify it in item 4*.			
5.	Does the Abstract reflect the contents of the manuscript? If not, please give suggestions to modify it in item 5*.			
6.	Is the Abstract within the limit 250 to 500 words?			
7.	Are the MSC numbers consistent with the title of the manuscript? If not, please make some suggestions in item 7* below.			
8.	Is the Literature Review relevant? If not, please indicate why in item 8* below.			
9.	Is the Literature Review adequate?			
10.	Is the Analysis comprehensive? If not, please reason why not in item 10* below.			
11.	Is the Conclusion section adequate?			
12.	Are the References complete (year, volume, number, pages)?			
13.	Do the References comply with the AAM format?			
14.	Are the References up-to-date?			
15.	Are the Figures in the right locations?			
16.	Are the Tables in right locations?			
17.	Is the manuscript well organized? If not, please reason in item 17* below.			
18.	Is the manuscript's length appropriate?			
19.	Does the manuscript contain sufficiently novel ideas to warrant publication? Please give reasons in item 19* below.			
20.	Is the manuscript sufficiently interesting to warrant publication? Please give reasons in item 20* below.			
21.	Is the manuscript publishable in the AAM as is ? Please give reasons in item 21* below.			
22.	Could the manuscript be publishable in the AAM after minor revision ? Please specify the necessary revisions needed in item 22* below.			
23.	Does the manuscript need major revision ? Please specify the necessary revisions needed in item 23* below.			
24.	Should the manuscript be rejected and not be published in the AAM? Please give reasons in item 24* below.			
25.	Should the manuscript be revised, would you be willing to review the revision?			

2*. If the Title of the manuscript does not reflect the contents, please suggest changes.

3*. If the quality of language (grammar, spelling, punctuations, and English structure) is not sufficient to easily understand the manuscript, please indicate some examples here or make marks on the manuscript and attach to this form.

- 4*. If the Abstract does not read well, please give suggestions to modify it.
- 4*. If the Abstract does not reflect the contents of the manuscript, please give suggestions to modify it.
- 7*. If the MSC numbers are not consistent with the title of the manuscript, please make some suggestions.
- 8*. If the Literature Review is not relevant, please indicate why.
- 10*. If the Analysis is not comprehensive, please reason why not.
- 17*. If the manuscript is not well organized, please reason.
- 19*. Please explain the manuscript's novelty sufficiency or its lack of.
- 20*. Please explain the manuscript's interest sufficiency or its lack of.
- 21*. Please give reasons if you believe the manuscript should be published as is.
- 22*. Please specify how the manuscript may be revised with minor changes/corrections/insertions.
- 23*. Please specify how the manuscript may be revised with major changes/corrections/insertions.
- 24*. Please give reasons if you believe the manuscript should be rejected.