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Abstract

In this paper, the notion of an obstinate prefilter (filter) in an $EQ$-algebra $\xi$ is introduced and a characterization of it is obtained by some theorems. Then the notion of maximal prefilter is defined and is characterized under some conditions. Finally, the relations among obstinate, prime, maximal, implicative and positive implicative prefilters are studied.
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1. Introduction

A special algebra called $EQ$-algebra has been recently introduced by Vil´em Nov´ak and B. De Baets (Nov´ak and De Baets (2009)). Its original motivation comes from fuzzy type theory, in which the main connective is fuzzy equality. An $EQ$-algebra consists of three binaries (meet, multiplication and a fuzzy equality) and a top element and a binary operation implication is derived from fuzzy equality. Its implication and multiplication are no more closely tied by the adjunction and so, this algebra generalizes commutative residuated lattice. These algebras are intended to develop an algebraic structure of truth-values for fuzzy type theory. $EQ$-algebras are interesting and important for studying and researching and residuated lattices (Galatos et al. (2007)) and BL-algebras (Chang (1958), H’ajek (1998), Turunen (1999)) are particular cases of $EQ$-algebras. In fact, $EQ$-algebras generalize non-commutative residuated lattices (El-Zekey et al. (2011)).
The prefilter theory plays a fundamental role in the general development of $EQ$-algebras. From a logical point of view, various filters correspond to various sets of provable formulas. Some types of filters on residuated lattice based on logical algebras have been widely studied (Haveshki et al. (2006), Jun et al. (2005), Zhan and Xu (2008), Van Gasse et al. (2010)) and some important results have been obtained. The notion of obstinate filter in residuated lattice is introduced in (Borumand Saeid and Pourkhatoun (2012)). For $EQ$-algebras, the notions of filters (which coincide with filters in residuated lattices) and prime filters were proposed and some of their properties were obtained (El- Zekey et al. (2011)). Few results for other special filters of $EQ$-algebras have been obtained in (Liu and Zhang (2014)).

In this paper, we define prefilters in $EQ$-algebra and characterize them by some theorems. We have shown that if $F$ is an obstinate prefilter of an $EQ$-algebra $E$, then $E/F$ is a chain. We hope that these prefilters open a new door into the theory of prefilters in $EQ$-algebras. This paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, the basic definitions, properties and special types of $EQ$-algebras are reviewed. In Section 3, an obstinate prefilter of an $EQ$-algebra is defined and characterized. In Section 4, by defining the notion of maximal prefilters, some characteristics of them are presented. Finally, we study the relation among obstinate, prime, maximal, implicative and positive implicative prefilters.

2. Preliminaries

In this Section, we present some definitions and results about $EQ$-algebras that will be used in the sequel.

Definition 2.1. (El- Zekey et al. (2011))

An $EQ$-algebra is an algebra $\xi = (E, \land, \land, \sim)$ of type $(2, 2, 2, 0)$ which satisfies the following:

$$(E_1) \ 	ext{E, } (E, \land, 1) \text{ is a } \land\text{-semi lattice with a top element 1. We set } a \leq b \text{ if and only if } a \land b = a,$$

$$(E_2) \ 	ext{E, } (E, \otimes, 1) \text{ is a monoid and } \otimes \text{ is isotone in arguments w.r.t } a \leq b,$$

$$(E_3) \ a \sim a = 1,$$

$$(E_4) \ ((a \land b) \sim c) \otimes (d \sim a) \leq (c \sim (d \land b)),$$

$$(E_5) \ (a \sim b) \otimes (c \sim d) \leq (a \sim c) \sim (b \sim d),$$

$$(E_6) \ (a \land b \land c) \sim a \leq (a \land b) \sim a, \text{ and}$$

$$(E_7) \ a \otimes b \leq a \sim b, \text{ for all } a, b, c \in E.$$ We denote $\tilde{a} := a \sim 1$ and $a \rightarrow b := (a \land b) \sim a, \text{ for all } a,b \in E.$

Theorem 2.2. (El- Zekey et al. (2011), Novák and De Baets (2009), Novák (2011))

Let $\xi = (E, \land, \land, \sim)$ be an $EQ$-algebra. For all $a, b, c \in E$ we have

$$(e_1) \ a \sim b = b \sim a,$$

$$(e_2) \ (a \sim b) \otimes (b \sim c) \leq (a \sim c),$$

$$(e_3) \ a \sim d \leq (a \land b) \sim (d \land b),$$

$$(e_4) \ (a \sim d) \otimes ((a \land b) \sim c) \leq ((d \land b) \sim c),$$

$$(e_5) \ (a \land b) \sim a \leq (a \land b \land c) \sim (a \land c),$$
\[(e_6) \quad a \otimes b \leq a \wedge b \leq a, b,\]
\[(e_7) \quad b \leq \tilde{b} \leq a \rightarrow b,\]
\[(e_8) \quad a \sim b \leq (a \rightarrow b) \wedge (b \rightarrow a),\]
\[(e_9) \quad a \leq b \text{ implies } a \rightarrow b = 1, b \rightarrow a = a \sim b, \tilde{a} \leq \tilde{b}, c \rightarrow a \leq c \rightarrow b \text{ and } b \rightarrow c \leq a \rightarrow c,\]
\[(e_{10}) \quad \text{If } a \leq b \leq c, \text{ then } a \sim c \leq a \sim b \text{ and } a \sim c \leq b \sim c,\]
\[(e_{11}) \quad a \otimes (a \sim b) \leq \tilde{b},\]
\[(e_{12}) \quad a \sim d \leq (b \rightarrow a) \sim (b \rightarrow d),\]
\[(e_{13}) \quad a \rightarrow d \leq (b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow d),\]
\[(e_{14}) \quad b \rightarrow a \leq (a \rightarrow d) \rightarrow (b \rightarrow d),\]
\[(e_{15}) \quad (a \rightarrow b) \otimes (c \rightarrow d) \leq (a \wedge c) \rightarrow (b \wedge d),\]
\[(e_{16}) \quad (a \rightarrow c) \otimes (b \rightarrow c) \leq (a \wedge b) \rightarrow c,\]
\[(e_{17}) \quad (c \rightarrow a) \otimes (c \rightarrow b) \leq c \rightarrow (a \wedge b),\]
\[(e_{18}) \quad a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) \leq (a \otimes b) \rightarrow \tilde{c}.\]

**Definition 2.3.** (Nová k (2011))

Let \( \xi = (E, \wedge, \otimes, \sim, 1) \) be an EQ-algebra. We say that it is

(i) **Spanned**, if it contains a bottom element 0 and \( \tilde{0} = 0 \),

(ii) **Separated**, if for all \( a, b \in E, a \sim b = 1 \) implies \( a = b \), and

(iii) **Semi-separated**, if for all \( a \in E, a \sim 1 = 1 \) implies \( a = 1 \).

If an EQ-algebra \( \xi \) contains a bottom element 0, we may define the unary operation on \( E \), by \( \neg a = a \sim 0 \) and \( \neg a \) is called a negation of \( a \in E \).

**Theorem 2.4.** (El- Zekey et al. (2011))

Let \( \xi = (E, \wedge, \otimes, \sim, 1) \) be an EQ-algebra with a bottom element 0. Then, for all \( a, b, c \in E \), we have:

(i) \( \neg 1 = \tilde{0}, \neg 0 = 1 \),

(ii) \( 0 \rightarrow a = 1 \) and \( \neg a = a \rightarrow 0 \),

(iii) \( a \leq b \) implies \( \neg b \leq \neg a \),

(iv) \( \neg \tilde{0} = \neg 1 \),

(v) \( a \otimes \neg a \leq \tilde{0} \),

(vi) \( a \rightarrow b \leq \neg b \rightarrow \neg a \),

(vii) \( \neg a \otimes \tilde{0} \leq \tilde{a} \),

(viii) \( \neg \tilde{a} \otimes \tilde{0} \leq \neg a \), and

(ix) \( a \sim b \leq \neg b \sim \neg a \).

**Definition 2.5.** (El- Zekey et al. (2011))

A nonempty subset \( F \) of an EQ-algebra \( \xi \) is called a prefilter of \( E \), whenever for all \( a, b, c \in E \):

\[(F_1) \quad 1 \in F, \text{ and }\]
\[(F_2) \quad a, a \rightarrow b \in F \text{ implies } b \in F.\]
A prefilter $F$ of $\zeta$ is called a filter, if it satisfies the following:

\[(F_3)\ a \to b \in F \text{ implies } (a \otimes c) \to (b \otimes c) \in F, \text{ for any } a, b, c \in E.\]

A prefilter (filter) $F$ of an $EQ$-algebra $\zeta$ is called proper, whenever $F \not= E$.

**Theorem 2.6.** (El-Zekey et al. (2011))

Let $F$ be a prefilter of an $EQ$-algebra $\zeta = (E, \wedge, \otimes, \sim, 1)$. The following hold, for all $x, y, z, s, t \in E$:

(i) if $x \in F$ and $x \leq y$, then $y \in F$,

(ii) if $x, x \sim y \in F$, then $y \in F$,

(iii) if $x \sim y \in F$ and $y \sim z \in F$, then $x \sim z \in F$,

(iv) if $x \sim y \in F$ and $y \sim z \in F$, then $x \sim z \in F$, and

(v) if $x \sim y \in F, s \sim t \in F$, then $(x \wedge s) \sim (y \wedge t) \in F, (x \sim s) \sim (y \sim t) \in F$ and $(x \to s) \sim (y \to t) \in F$.

We denote $a \leftrightarrow b := (a \to b) \wedge (b \to a)$ and $a \leftrightarrow^* b := (a \to b) \otimes (b \to a)$, for all $a, b, c \in E$.

**Theorem 2.7.** (El-Zekey et al. (2011))

Let $F$ be a filter of an $EQ$-algebra $\zeta = (E, \wedge, \otimes, \sim, 1)$. Then the following hold:

(i) $a, b \in F$ implies $a \otimes b \in F$,

(ii) $a \sim b \in F$ if $a \leftrightarrow b \in F$ iff $a \to b \in F$ and $b \to a \in F$ iff $a \leftrightarrow^* b$, and

(iii) if $a \sim b \in F$, then $(a \otimes c) \sim (b \otimes c) \in F$ and $(c \otimes a) \sim (c \otimes b) \in F$, for all $a, b, c \in E$.

**Definition 2.8.** (El-Zekey et al. (2011))

A prefilter $F$ of an $EQ$-algebra $\zeta$ is said to be a prime prefilter if for all $a, b \in E, a \to b \in F$ or $b \to a \in F$.

For brevity, we need the following notations for all $a, z \in E$ and natural number $n$:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_0 z &= z, \\
\sigma_1 z &= a \to z, \\
\sigma_2 z &= a \to (a \to z), \text{ and} \\
\sigma_n z &= a \to (a \to^{n-1} z).
\end{align*}
\]

**Definition 2.9.** (Nov’ak (2011))

Let $\emptyset \not= X \subseteq E$. A generated prefilter by $X$, is the smallest prefilter containing $X$ and denoted by $\langle X \rangle$. We have

\[
\langle X \rangle := \{ a \in E : \exists x_i \in X \text{ and } n \geq 1 \text{ such that } x_1 \to (x_2 \to \ldots (x_n \to a)\ldots) = 1 \}.
\]
Moreover, for a prefilter $F$ of $\xi$ and $x \in E$,

$$F(x) := <\{x\} \cup F> = \{a \in E | \exists n \geq 1 \text{ such that } x \rightarrow^n a \in F\}.$$ 

**Definition 2.10.** (Liu and Zhang (2014))

A prefilter $F$ of an $EQ$-algebra $\xi$ is called a positive implicative prefilter if it satisfies for any $x,y,z \in E$:

$$(F_4) x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) \in F \text{ and } x \rightarrow y \in F \text{ imply } x \rightarrow z \in F.$$ 

**Lemma 2.11.** (Liu and Zhang (2014))

If $F$ is a positive implicative prefilter of an $EQ$-algebra $\xi$, then for all $x \in E$,

$$F(x) = \{a \in E | x \rightarrow a \in F\}.$$ 

**Definition 2.12.** (Liu and Zhang (2014))

A nonempty subset $F$ of $E$ is called an implicative prefilter if it satisfies $(F_1)$ and

$$(F_5) z \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x) \in F \text{ and } z \in F \text{ imply } x \in F, \text{ for any } x,y,z \in E.$$ 

**Theorem 2.13.** (Liu and Zhang (2014))

Each implicative prefilter of an $EQ$-algebra $\xi$ is a positive implicative prefilter.

### 3. Obstinate prefilters (filters) in $EQ$-algebras

From now on, unless mentioned otherwise, $\xi = (E, \wedge, \otimes, \sim, 1)$ will be an $EQ$-algebra, which will be referred to by its support set $E$.

**Definition 3.1.**

A prefilter $F$ of $\xi$ is called an obstinate prefilter of $\xi$ if for all $x,y \in E$,

$$(F_6) x,y \notin F \text{ implies } x \rightarrow y \in F \text{ and } y \rightarrow x \in F.$$ 

If $F$ is a filter and satisfies $(F_6)$, then $F$ is called an obstinate filter.
Example 3.2.

(i) Let ξ₁ = ({0,a,b,c,d,1},∧,⊗,∼,1) such that 0 < a < b < c < d < 1. The following binary operations “⊗” and “∼” define an EQ-algebra (Novák and De Baets (2009)). The implication is also given as follows:

Table 1. The binary operations of ξ₁

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>⊗</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Then, {a,b,c,d,1} is an obstinate prefilter of ξ₁ while {1,d} is not an obstinate prefilter, because 0, b ∉ {1, d} and b → 0 = {0} ∉ {1, d}.

(ii) Let ξ₂ = ({0,a,b,c,1},∧,⊗,∼,1), such that 0 < a < b < c < 1. In Table 2 the binary operations “⊗”, “∼” and “→” define an EQ-algebra on ξ₂. Then {b, c, 1} and {a, c, 1} are obstinate prefilters of ξ₂.

Table 2. The binary operations of ξ₂

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>→</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Theorem 3.3.

{1} is a prefilter of ξ if and only if ξ is a semi-separated EQ-algebra.

Proof:

Let {1} be a prefilter of ξ and a ∼ 1 = 1 for a ∈ E. We get that a ∼ 1 ∈ {1} and so by Theorem 2.6 part (ii), a = 1. Therefore, ξ is a semi-separated EQ-algebra. Conversely, let ξ be a semi-separated
EQ-algebra and \( b, b \rightarrow a \in \{1\} \). Then \( 1 \rightarrow a = \{1\} \), we get that \((1 \wedge a) \sim 1 = 1\) and so \(a \sim 1 = 1\). Therefore, \(a = 1\) and \(\{1\}\) is a prefilter of \(\bar{\varepsilon}\). \(\square\)

Since every good EQ-algebra is separated, the above lemma holds for good EQ-algebra.

\begin{table}
\centering
\caption{The binary operations of \(\bar{\varepsilon}_2\)}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\(\otimes\) & 0 & a & b & c & 1 \\
\hline
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
a & 0 & a & 0 & a & a \\
\hline
b & 0 & 0 & b & b & b \\
\hline
c & 0 & a & b & c & c \\
\hline
1 & 0 & a & b & c & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\(\sim\) & 0 & a & b & c & 1 \\
\hline
0 & 0 & 1 & b & a & 0 & 0 \\
\hline
a & b & 1 & 1 & a & a \\
\hline
b & a & 1 & 1 & b & b \\
\hline
c & 0 & a & b & 1 & c \\
\hline
1 & 0 & a & b & c & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\(\rightarrow\) & 0 & a & b & c & 1 \\
\hline
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
a & b & 1 & b & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
b & a & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
c & 0 & a & b & 1 & 1 \\
\hline
1 & 0 & a & b & c & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

**Lemma 3.4.**

Let \(\bar{\varepsilon}\) be a separated EQ-algebra. Then \(\{1\}\) is an obstinate prefilter of \(\bar{\varepsilon}\) if and only if \(E\) has at most two elements.

**Proof:**

Let \(\{1\}\) be an obstinate prefilter and \(x, y \in E - \{1\}\). Then \(x \rightarrow y \in \{1\}\) and \(y \rightarrow x \in \{1\}\), and so \((x \wedge y) \sim x = (x \wedge y) \sim y = 1\). Since \(\bar{\varepsilon}\) is a separated EQ-algebra, \(x \wedge y = y\), thus \(x = y\). Therefore, \(E\) has at most two elements. The converse is clear. \(\square\)

**Lemma 3.5.**

Let \(F\) be a prefilter of \(\bar{\varepsilon}\). Then \(F\) is an obstinate prefilter of \(\bar{\varepsilon}\) if and only if \(x, y \not\in F\) implies \(x \sim y \in \bar{\varepsilon}\).

**Proof:**

Let \(F\) be an obstinate prefilter of \(\bar{\varepsilon}\) and \(x, y \not\in F\). Then \(x \rightarrow y \in F\) and \(y \rightarrow x \in F\), and so \((x \wedge y) \sim x \in F\) and \((x \wedge y) \sim y \in F\). Therefore, by Theorem 2.6 part (iii) we get that \(x \sim y \in F\). Conversely, suppose \(x, y \not\in F\), then \(x \sim y \in F\).
Since $x \sim y \leq x \to y$, by Theorem 2.6 part (i), we get that $x \to y \in F$ and $y \to x \in F$. Therefore, $F$ is an obstinate prefilter.

Theorem 3.6.

Let $F$ be a filter of $\xi$. Then $F$ is an obstinate filter of $\xi$ if and only if $a \Leftrightarrow b \in F$, for all $a, b \in E - F$.

Proof:

Let $F$ be an obstinate filter of $\xi$ and $a, b \in E - F$. Then $a \to b, b \to a \in F$. By Theorem 2.7 part (i), we get that $a \Leftrightarrow b = (a \to b) \otimes (b \to a) \in F$. Conversely, let $a, b \in E - F$. Then $a \Leftrightarrow b \in F$. Since $(a \to b) \otimes (b \to a) \leq (a \to b), (b \to a)$, by Theorem 2.6 part (i), we have $(a \to b), (b \to a) \in F$ and so $F$ is an obstinate filter of $\xi$.

Theorem 3.7.

Let bottom element $0 \in E$ and $F$ be a proper prefilter of $\xi$. Then $F$ is an obstinate prefilter of $\xi$ if and only if $x \not\in F$ implies $\neg x \in F$, for all $x \in E$.

Proof:

Let $F$ be an obstinate prefilter and $x \not\in F$. Then $\neg x = x \to 0 \in F$. Conversely, suppose $x, y \not\in F$, then $\neg x, \neg y \in F$. Thus, by Theorem 2.6 part (ii), we conclude that $x \sim y \in F$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.5, $F$ is an obstinate prefilter.

Corollary 3.8.

Let $\xi$ contain a bottom element $0$ and $F$ be a proper prefilter of $\xi$. Then $F$ is an obstinate prefilter of $\xi$ if and only if $x \not\in F$ or $\neg x \in F$, for all $x \in E$.

Theorem 3.9.

If $a \to 0 = 0$, for all $a \in E - \{0\}$, then $F = E - \{0\}$ is the only obstinate proper prefilter of $\xi$.

Proof:

It is clear that by hypothesis, $F$ is a prefilter of $\xi$. Now let $x, y \not\in F = E - \{0\}$. Then, $x = y = 0$ and so $x \to y = y \to x = 0 \to 0 = 1 \in F$. Therefore, $F$ is an obstinate prefilter. Suppose $F = E - \{0\}$ and $G$ are obstinate proper prefilters and $G \neq F$. Then, there is $0 \neq a \in F$ such that $a \not\in G$, and so $0 = a \to 0 \not\in G$ which is a contradiction.

Theorem 3.10. (Extension property)

Let $F$ be an obstinate prefilter of $\xi$ and $F \subseteq G$. Then $G$ is also an obstinate prefilter of $\xi$. 


Proof:

Let $F$ be an obstinate prefilter and $x, y \not\in G$. Then, $x, y \not\in F$ and so $x \rightarrow y \in F$ and $y \rightarrow x \in F$. Thus, by hypothesis $x \rightarrow y \in G$ and $y \rightarrow x \in G$, i.e. $G$ is an obstinate prefilter. □

Given a filter $F$ of $\xi$ the relation on $E$, $a \approx F b$ iff $a \sim b \in F$ is a congruence relation. For $a \in E$, we denote its equivalence class w.r.t. $\approx F$ by $[a]_F$ (or $[a]$ for short) and the set of these equivalence classes is denoted by $E/F$. It is easy to see that $< E/F, \wedge, \circ, \sim F, [1] >$ is an $EQ$-algebra. The ordering in $E/F$ is defined using the derived meet operation in the following way:

$[a] \leq [b]$ iff $[a] \wedge [b] = [a]$ iff $a \wedge b \approx F a \Rightarrow b \in F$.

Theorem 3.11.

Let $F$ be an obstinate filter of $\xi$. Then $E/F$ is a chain.

Proof:

Let $[a], [b] \in E/F$. If $a \in F$ or $b \in F$, then $a \rightarrow b \in F$ or $b \rightarrow a \in F$, by Theorem 2.6 part (i). Then $[a] \leq [b]$ or $[b] \leq [a]$. If $a, b \not\in F$, then $a \rightarrow b \in F$ and $b \rightarrow a \in F$ and so $[a] = [b]$. Therefore, $E/F$ is a chain. □

Let $A$ and $B$ be two $EQ$-algebras. A function $f:A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism of $EQ$-algebras, if it satisfies the following conditions, for every $x, y \in A$:

$f(1) = 1,$
$f(x \circ y) = f(x) \circ f(y),$
$f(x \sim y) = f(x) \sim f(y),$ and
$f(x \wedge y) = f(x) \wedge f(y).$

We also define $\ker(f) = \{ x \in A : f(x) = 1 \}$.

The set of all homeomorphisms from $A$ into $B$ is denoted by $Hom(A, B)$.

Theorem 3.12.

Let $f \in Hom(A, B)$ and $G$ be an obstinate prefilter of $B$. Then, $f^{-1}(G)$ is an obstinate prefilter of $A$.

Proof:

It is clear that $f^{-1}(G)$ is a prefilter of $A$. Let $x, y \not\in f^{-1}(G)$. Then $f(x), f(y) \not\in G$, since $G$ is an obstinate prefilter $f(x \rightarrow y) = f(x) \rightarrow f(y) \in G$ and $f(y \rightarrow x) = f(y) \rightarrow f(x) \in G$. Thus, $x \rightarrow y \in f^{-1}(G)$ and $y \rightarrow x \in f^{-1}(G)$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(G)$ is an obstinate prefilter of $A$. □
Proposition 3.13.

Let $F$ be a prefilter of $\xi$. If $a, b \in F$, then $a \to b, b \to a, a \sim b, a \wedge b \in F$.

Proof:

Let $a, b \in F$. Since $b \leq a \to b$ and $a \leq b \to a$, then by Theorem 2.6 (i), we have $a \wedge b \sim a = a \to b \in F$ and $a \wedge b \sim b = b \to a \in F$. Hence, by Theorem 2.6 part(iii), $a \sim b \in F$. Thus, $a \wedge b \sim a \in F$ and $a \in F$ imply that $a \wedge b \in F$. □

Theorem 3.14.

Let $F$ be an obstinate filter of a spanned $EQ$-algebra $\xi$. Then, there exists $f \in \text{Hom}(E,E)$ such that $\ker (f) = F$.

Proof:

Suppose $F$ is an obstinate filter of $\xi$. $f$ is defined as follows and it is shown that $f \in \text{Hom}(E,E)$. It is easy to check that $f(1) = 1$. We consider two arbitrary elements $x, y \in E$ in the following cases:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \in F, \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin F. \end{cases}$$

Case (1): $x, y \in F$

(1a) By Theorem 2.6 part(i) we get that $x \otimes y \in F$. Hence, $f(x) = 1 = f(y)$ and $f(x \otimes y) = 1$. Therefore, $f(x \otimes y) = 1 = f(x) \otimes f(y)$.

(1b) By Theorem 2.6 part (i), we get that $y \preceq x \rightarrow y$ and so $x \rightarrow y \in F$. Thus, $f(x \rightarrow y) = 1$ and $f(x) \rightarrow f(y) = 1 = 1 \rightarrow 1 = 1$. Therefore, $f(x \rightarrow y) = f(x) \rightarrow f(y)$.

(1c) By Proposition 3.13, $x \wedge y \in F$, thus $f(x \wedge y) = 1$. We get that $f(x) \wedge f(y) = 1 \wedge 1 = 1$. Therefore, $f(x \wedge y) = 1 = f(x) \wedge f(y)$.

(1d) By Proposition 3.13, $x \sim y \in F$. Thus, $f(x \sim y) = 1$. So, $f(x) = 1 = f(y)$ and $f(x \sim y) = 1$. Therefore, $f(x \sim y) = 1 = f(x) \sim f(y)$.

Case (2): $x, y \notin F$:

(2a) By Theorem 2.6 part (i), $x \otimes y \notin F$. So $f(x \otimes y) = 0$. On the other hand, $f(x) \otimes f(y) = 0 \otimes 0 = 0$. It follows that $f(x \otimes y) = f(x) \otimes f(y)$.

(2b) Since $F$ is an obstinate filter, $x \rightarrow y \in F$ and so $f(x \rightarrow y) = 1$. On the other hand, $f(x) \rightarrow f(y) = 0 \rightarrow 0 = 1$. It follows that $f(x \rightarrow y) = f(x) \rightarrow f(y)$. Similarly, $f(y \rightarrow x) = f(y) \rightarrow f(x)$.
(2c) By Theorem 2.6 part (i), \(x \land y \notin F\), and so \(f(x \land y) = 0\). On the other hand, \(f(x) \land f(y) = 0 \land 0 = 0\). It follows that \(f(x \land y) = f(x) \land f(y)\).

(2d) Since \(F\) is an obstinate filter, we have \(x \sim y \in F\), \(f(x) = 0 = f(y)\) and \(f(x \sim y) = 1\). Therefore, \(f(x \sim y) = 1 = 0 \sim 0 = f(x) \sim f(y)\).

**Case (3):** \(x \notin F, y \in F\):

(3a) We get that \(x \otimes y \notin F\). So \(f(x \otimes y) = 0\). Therefore, \(f(x \otimes y) = 0 \otimes 1 = f(x) \otimes f(y)\).

(3b) We have \(y \leq x \rightarrow y \in F\). Then, \(f(x \rightarrow y) = 1\). On the other hand, \(f(x) \rightarrow f(y) = 0 \rightarrow 1 = 1\). It follows that \(f(x \rightarrow y) = f(x) \rightarrow f(y)\). By \(F_2\) and hypothesis we have, \(y \rightarrow x \notin F\). Then, \(f(y \rightarrow x) = 0\). Since \(\xi\) is a spanned \(EQ\)-algebra, we get that \(f(y) \rightarrow f(x) = 1 \rightarrow 0 = \bar{0} = 0\). Therefore, \(f(y \rightarrow x) = 0 = f(y) \rightarrow f(x)\).

(3c) In this case \(x \land y \notin F\), and so \(f(x \land y) = 0\). On the other hand, \(f(x) \land f(y) = 1 \land 0 = 0\). It follows that \(f(x \land y) = f(x) \land f(y)\).

(3d) Since \(x \sim y \leq y \rightarrow x \notin F\), we get that \(f(x \sim y) = 0\). On the other hand, since \(\xi\) is a spanned \(EQ\)-algebra, \(f(x) \sim f(y) = 0 \sim 1 = \bar{0} = 0\). Therefore, \(f(x \sim y) = 0 = f(x) \sim f(y)\).

**Case (4):**

\(x \in F, y \notin F\): It can be proved similar to case (3). Summarizing all the above we have proven that \(f \in \text{Hom}(E, E)\). It is clear that \(\text{Ker}(f) = f^{-1}(1) = F\). □

4. Maximal prefilters in \(EQ\)-algebras

**Definition 4.1.**

A prefilter \(F\) of \(\xi\) is called a maximal prefilter if it is proper and no proper prefilter of \(\xi\) strictly contains \(F\); that is, for each prefilter, \(G \notin F\), if \(F \subseteq G\), then \(G = E\).

**Theorem 4.2.**

If \(0 \in E\) and \(M\) is a proper prefilter of \(\xi\), then the following are equivalent:

(i) \(M\) is a maximal prefilter of \(\xi\), and

(ii) for any \(x \notin M\), there exists \(n \geq 1\) such that \(x \rightarrow^n 0 \in M\).

**Proof:**

(i) \(\Rightarrow\) (ii). If \(x \notin M\), then \(\langle M \cup \{x\} \rangle = E\), and so \(0 \in \langle M \cup \{x\} \rangle\). Thus, there exists \(n \geq 1\) such that \(x \rightarrow^n 0 \in M\).
(ii) ⇒ (i). Assume there is a proper prefilter $G$ such that $M \subset G$. Then, there exists $x \in G$ such that $x \notin M$. By hypothesis, there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $x \rightarrow^n 0 \in M$. By Definition 2.5, we get that $0 \in G$, which is a contradiction. □

**Proposition 4.3.**

Let $\xi$ contain a bottom element 0. Then, every obstinate proper prefilter of $\xi$ is a maximal prefilter of $\xi$.

**Proof:**

Let $F$ be an obstinate proper prefilter of $\xi$, $G$ be a prefilter of $\xi$ and $F \subseteq G \subseteq E$. If $F \neq G$, then there is $x \in G$ such that $x \notin F$. Therefore, by Corollary 3.8, $\neg x \in F$ and we get that $\neg x \in G$. By Theorem 2.6 part (ii), we conclude that $0 \in G$. Therefore, $G = E$. □

By the following example, we show that the converse of Proposition 4.3 may not be true.

**Example 4.4.**

Let $\tilde{\xi} = (\{0,a,b,c,d,1\}, \land, \otimes, \sim, 1)$ be an $EQ$-algebra, with $0 < a < b < c < d < 1$. “→”, “⊗” and “∼” defined as the following (Novák and De Baets (2009)):

**Table 3.** The binary operations of $\tilde{\xi}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\otimes$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\sim$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\rightarrow$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Then, $\{c, d, 1\}$ is a maximal prefilter of $\tilde{\xi}$ while it is not an obstinate prefilter, because
Lemma 4.5.

Let $F$ be a maximal and positive implicative prefilter of $\xi$. Then, $F$ is an obstinate prefilter of $\xi$.

Proof:

Let $x, y \not\in F$. Then $E = \langle F, y \rangle = \{ z \in E \mid y \rightarrow z \in F \}$ and so $y \rightarrow x \in F$. Similarly, we can obtain $x \rightarrow y \in F$. Thus, $F$ is an obstinate prefilter of $\xi$. $\Box$

Lemma 4.6.

Every obstinate prefilter of an $EQ$-algebra $\xi$ is an implicative prefilter.

Proof:

Let $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x \in F$. Consider the following cases:

Case (1):

If $y \in F$, then $y \leq x \rightarrow y$ by Theorem 2.6 part (i) implies $x \rightarrow y \in F$. By hypothesis, we obtain $x \in F$.

Case (2):

If $x, y \not\in F$, since $F$ is an obstinate prefilter, then $x \rightarrow y \in F$ and we get that $x \in F$ by hypothesis, which is a contradiction. $\Box$

The following example shows that the converse of Lemma 4.6 may not be true.

Example 4.7.

Let $\zeta = \langle \{0, a, b, 1\}, \land, \otimes, \sim, 1 \rangle$ be a chain with Cayley Table 4. Then, $\zeta$ is an $EQ$-algebra (Liu and Zhang (2014)), and $\{b, 1\}$ is an implicative prefilter, while it is not an obstinate prefilter.

By Theorem 2.13 and Lemma 4.5, we have the following:

Corollary 4.8.

If $F$ is a maximal and implicative prefilter of $\zeta$, then $F$ is an obstinate prefilter of $\zeta$.

Theorem 4.9.

Every obstinate prefilter $F$ of $\zeta$ is a prime prefilter.

Proof:
Let $a, b \in E$, $a \rightarrow b \notin F$ and $b \rightarrow a \notin F$. Then, $a \leq b \rightarrow a$ and $b \leq a \rightarrow b$, imply $a, b \notin F$.

Since $F$ is an obstinate prefilter, we get that $a \rightarrow b \in F$ and $b \rightarrow a \in F$, which is a contradiction. □

The converse of the above theorem does not hold in general.

**Example 4.10.**

Consider $EQ$-algebra in Example 4.4. It is easy to check that $\{1, d\}$ is a prime prefilter of $\xi$, while it is not an obstinate prefilter, because $a, b \notin \{d, 1\}$ and $b \rightarrow a = a \notin \{d, 1\}$.

**Table 4.** The binary operations of $\xi$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\odot$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\sim$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\rightarrow$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Corollary 4.11.**

Every maximal and implicative prefilter of $\xi$ is a prime prefilter of $\xi$.

The prefilter $F = \{1, d\}$ in Example 4.10 is a prime prefilter while it is not a maximal prefilter.

**5. Conclusion and future research**

In this paper, we introduced the notions of obstinate prefilters (filters) and maximal prefilters in an $EQ$-algebra. We established properties of obstinate prefilters and maximal prefilters in an $EQ$-algebra. We proved some relationships between obstinate prefilters and the other types of prefilters in an $EQ$-algebra. In our future work, we will introduce the other type of prefilters and find the relation between them and the prefilters in this paper. In addition, we will find the relation of obstinate filters with congruencies.
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