Available at http://pvamu.edu/aam
Appl. Appl. Math. ISSN: 1932-9466

Applications and Applied
Mathematics:
An International Journal
(AAM)

Vol. 11, Issue 2 (December 2016), pp. 888 – 905

Generalized I of strongly Lacunary of χ^2 over p-metric spaces defined by Musielak Orlicz function

¹Deepmala, ²N. Subramanian & ³Lakshmi N. Mishra

¹SQC and OR Unit, Indian Statistical Institute, 203 B. T. Road Kolkata-700 108 West Bengal, India

dmrai23@gmail.com; deepmaladm23@gmail.com

²Department of Mathematics, SASTRA University Thanjavur-613 401, India nsmaths@yahoo.com

³Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology Silchar-788 010 Assam, India lakshminarayanmishra04@gmail.com

Received: February 27, 2016; Accepted: May 31, 2016

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce generalized difference sequence spaces via ideal convergence, lacunary of χ^2 sequence spaces over p-metric spaces defined by Musielak function, and examine the Musielak-Orlicz function which satisfies uniform Δ_2 condition, and we also discuss some topological properties of the resulting spaces of χ^2 with respect to ideal structures which is solid and monotone. Hence, given an example of the space χ^2 , this is not solid and not monotone. This theory is very useful for statistical convergence and also is applicable to rough convergence.

Keywords: Analytic sequence; double sequences; χ^2 space; difference sequence space; Musielak Orlicz function; p- metric space; Lacunary sequence; ideal

MSC 2010 No.: 40A05, 40C05, 40D05

1. Introduction

Throughout w, χ and Λ denote the classes of all gai and analytic scalar valued single sequences, respectively. We write w^2 for the set of all complex sequences (x_{mn}) , where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of positive integers. Then, w^2 is a linear space under coordinatewise addition and scalar multiplication. Some initial work on double sequence spaces is found in Bromwich (1965). Later on, they were investigated by Hardy (1917), Moricz (1991), Moricz and Rhoades (1988), Basarir and Solankan (1999), Tripathy (2003), Turkmenoglu (1999), Mishra et al. (2007, 2012) and many others.

We procure the following sets of double sequences:

$$\mathcal{M}_{u}(t) := \left\{ (x_{mn}) \in w^{2} : sup_{m,n \in N} |x_{mn}|^{t_{mn}} < \infty \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{p}(t) := \left\{ (x_{mn}) \in w^{2} : p - \lim_{m,n \to \infty} |x_{mn} - l|^{t_{mn}} = 1 \text{ for some } l \in \mathbb{C} \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{0p}(t) := \left\{ (x_{mn}) \in w^{2} : p - \lim_{m,n \to \infty} |x_{mn}|^{t_{mn}} = 1 \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{u}(t) := \left\{ (x_{mn}) \in w^{2} : \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_{mn}|^{t_{mn}} < \infty \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{C}_{bp}(t) := \mathcal{C}_{p}(t) \cap \mathcal{M}_{u}(t) \text{ and } \mathcal{C}_{0bp}(t) = \mathcal{C}_{0p}(t) \cap \mathcal{M}_{u}(t),$$

where $t=(t_{mn})$ is the sequence of strictly positive reals t_{mn} for all $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$ and p- $\lim_{m,n\to\infty}$ denotes the limit in the Pringsheim's sense. In the case $t_{mn}=1$ for all $m,n\in$ \mathbb{N} ; $\mathcal{M}_{u}(t)$, $\mathcal{C}_{p}(t)$, $\mathcal{C}_{0p}(t)$, $\mathcal{L}_{u}(t)$, $\mathcal{C}_{bp}(t)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{0bp}(t)$ reduce to the sets \mathcal{M}_{u} , \mathcal{C}_{p} , \mathcal{C}_{0p} , \mathcal{L}_{u} , \mathcal{C}_{bp} and \mathcal{C}_{0bp} , respectively. Now, we may summarize the knowledge given in some documents related to the double sequence spaces. Gökhan and Colak (2004, 2005) have proved that $\mathcal{M}_u(t)$ and $C_{p}\left(t\right), C_{bp}\left(t\right)$ are complete paranormed spaces of double sequences and gave the $\alpha-,\beta-,\gamma$ duals of the spaces $\mathcal{M}_{u}(t)$ and $\mathcal{C}_{bp}(t)$. Quite recently, in her PhD thesis, Zelter (2001) has essentially studied both the theory of topological double sequence spaces and the theory of summability of double sequences. Mursaleen and Edely (2003) and Tripathy (2003) have independently introduced the statistical convergence and Cauchy for double sequences and given the relation between statistical convergent and strongly Cesàro summable double sequences. Altay and Basar (2005) have defined the spaces \mathcal{BS} , $\mathcal{BS}(t)$, \mathcal{CS}_p , \mathcal{CS}_{bp} , \mathcal{CS}_r and \mathcal{BV} of double sequences consisting of all double series whose sequence of partial sums are in the spaces \mathcal{M}_u , \mathcal{M}_u (t), \mathcal{C}_p , \mathcal{C}_{bp} , \mathcal{C}_r and \mathcal{L}_u , respectively, and also examined some properties of those sequence spaces and determined the α - duals of the spaces $\mathcal{BS}, \mathcal{BV}, \mathcal{CS}_{bp}$ and the $\beta(\theta)$ - duals of the spaces \mathcal{CS}_{bp} and \mathcal{CS}_r of double series. Basar and Sever (2009) have introduced the Banach space \mathcal{L}_q of double sequences corresponding to the well-known space ℓ_q of single sequences and examined some properties of the space \mathcal{L}_q . Quite recently Subramanian and Mishra (2010) have studied the space $\chi^2_M(p,q,u)$ of double sequences and gave some inclusion relations.

The class of sequences which are strongly Cesàro summable with respect to a modulus was introduced by Maddox (1986) as an extension of the definition of strongly Cesàro summable sequences. Connor (1989) further extended this definition to a definition of strong A- summability with respect to a modulus where $A=(a_{n,k})$ is a nonnegative regular matrix and established some connections between strong A- summability, strong A- summability with respect to a modulus, and A- statistical convergence. In Pringsheim (1900) the notion of convergence of double sequences was presented by A. Pringsheim. Also, in Hamilton (1936, 1938), the four dimensional matrix transformation $(Ax)_{k,\ell} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{k\ell}^{mn} x_{mn}$ was studied extensively by Robison (1926) and Hamilton (1939).

The double series $\sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} x_{mn}$ is called convergent if and only if the double sequence (s_{mn}) is convergent, where $s_{mn} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} x_{ij} (m,n \in \mathbb{N})$.

A sequence $x=(x_{mn})$ is said to be double analytic if $\sup_{mn}|x_{mn}|^{1/m+n}<\infty$. The vector space of all double analytic sequences will be denoted by Λ^2 . A sequence $x=(x_{mn})$ is called a double gai sequence if $((m+n)!\,|x_{mn}|)^{1/m+n}\to 0$ as $m,n\to\infty$. The double gai sequences will be denoted by χ^2 . Let $\phi=\{\text{all finite sequences}\}$.

Consider a double sequence $x=(x_{ij})$. The $(m,n)^{th}$ section $x^{[m,n]}$ of the sequence is defined by $x^{[m,n]}=\sum_{i,j=0}x_{ij}\Im_{ij}$ for all $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$, where \Im_{ij} denotes the double sequence whose only non zero term is $\frac{1}{(i+j)!}$ in the $(i,j)^{th}$ place for each $i,j\in\mathbb{N}$.

An FK-space (or a metric space) X is said to have AK property if (\Im_{mn}) is a Schauder basis for X, or equivalently $x^{[m,n]} \to x$. An FDK-space is a double sequence space endowed with a complete metrizable locally convex topology under which the coordinate mappings $x = (x_k) \to (x_{mn})(m, n \in \mathbb{N})$ are also continuous.

Let M and Φ be mutually complementary modulus functions. Then, we have:

(i) For all $u, y \ge 0$,

$$uy \le M(u) + \Phi(y)$$
, (Young's inequality) (see Kampthan et al. (1981)), (1)

(ii) for all $u \geq 0$,

$$u\eta\left(u\right) = M\left(u\right) + \Phi\left(\eta\left(u\right)\right),\tag{2}$$

and

(iii) for all $u \ge 0$ and $0 < \lambda < 1$, $M(\lambda u) < \lambda M(u)$. (3)

Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri (1971) used the idea of Orlicz function to construct the Orlicz sequence space

$$\ell_M = \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

The space ℓ_M with the norm

$$||x|| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \le 1 \right\},$$

becomes a Banach space which is called an Orlicz sequence space. For $M\left(t\right)=t^{p}\left(1\leq p<\infty\right)$, the spaces ℓ_M coincide with the classical sequence space ℓ_p .

A sequence $f=(f_{mn})$ of modulus function is called a Musielak-modulus function. A sequence $g = (g_{mn})$ defined by

$$g_{mn}(v) = \sup\{|v|u - (f_{mn})(u) : u \ge 0\}, m, n = 1, 2, ...$$

is called the complementary function of a Musielak-modulus function f. For a given Musielak modulus function f, the Musielak-modulus sequence space t_f and its subspace h_f are defined as follows:

$$t_f = \left\{ x \in w^2 : I_f \left(|x_{mn}| \right)^{1/m+n} \to 0 \text{ as } m, n \to \infty \right\},$$

$$h_f = \left\{ x \in w^2 : I_f \left(|x_{mn}| \right)^{1/m+n} \to 0 \text{ as } m, n \to \infty \right\},$$

where I_f is a convex modular defined by

$$I_f(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{mn} (|x_{mn}|)^{1/m+n}, x = (x_{mn}) \in t_f$$

We consider t_f equipped with the Luxemburg metric

$$d(x,y) = \sup_{mn} \left\{ \inf \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{mn} \left(\frac{|x_{mn}|^{1/m+n}}{mn} \right) \right) \le 1 \right\}.$$

If X is a sequence space, we give the following definitions:

- (1) X' = the continuous dual of X,

- (1) X = the continuous state of X,

 (2) $X^{\alpha} = \left\{ a = (a_{mn}) : \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} |a_{mn}x_{mn}| < \infty, \text{ for each } x \in X \right\},$ (3) $X^{\beta} = \left\{ a = (a_{mn}) : \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_{mn}x_{mn} \text{ is convegent, for each } x \in X \right\},$ (4) $X^{\gamma} = \left\{ a = (a_{mn}) : \sup_{m,n=1} a_{mn}x_{mn} \mid < \infty, \text{ for each } x \in X \right\},$
- (5) let X be an FK-space $\supset \phi$; then $X^f = \{f(\Im_{mn}) : f \in X'\}$

(6)
$$X^{\delta} = \left\{ a = (a_{mn}) : \sup_{mn} |a_{mn} x_{mn}|^{1/m+n} < \infty, \text{ for each } x \in X \right\},$$

where X^{α}, X^{β} and X^{γ} are called α -(or Köthe-Toeplitz) dual of X, β - (or generalized-Köthe-Toeplitz) dual of X, γ - dual of X, and δ - dual of X, respectively. X^{α} is defined by Gupta and Kamptan (1981). It is clear that $X^{\alpha} \subset X^{\beta}$ and $X^{\alpha} \subset X^{\gamma}$, but $X^{\beta} \subset X^{\gamma}$ does not hold, since the sequence of partial sums of a double convergent series need not to be bounded.

The notion of difference sequence spaces (for single sequences) was introduced by Kizmaz as follows

$$Z\left(\Delta\right) = \left\{x = (x_k) \in w : (\Delta x_k) \in Z\right\},\,$$

for $Z = c, c_0$ and ℓ_{∞} , where $\Delta x_k = x_k - x_{k+1}$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Here, c, c_0 and ℓ_∞ denote the classes of convergent, null,and bounded scalar valued single sequences, respectively. The difference sequence space bv_p of the classical space ℓ_p is introduced and studied in the case $1 \le p \le \infty$ by Başar and Altay and in the case $0 by Altay and Başar (2005). The spaces <math>c(\Delta), c_0(\Delta), \ell_\infty(\Delta)$ and bv_p are Banach spaces normed by

$$||x|| = |x_1| + \sup_{k>1} |\Delta x_k|$$
 and $||x||_{bv_n} = (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|^p)^{1/p}, (1 \le p < \infty).$

Later on the notion was further investigated by many others. We now introduce the following difference double sequence spaces defined by

$$Z\left(\Delta\right) = \left\{x = (x_{mn}) \in w^2 : (\Delta x_{mn}) \in Z\right\},\,$$

where $Z = \Lambda^2, \chi^2$, and

$$\Delta x_{mn} = (x_{mn} - x_{mn+1}) - (x_{m+1n} - x_{m+1n+1}) = x_{mn} - x_{mn+1} - x_{m+1n} + x_{m+1n+1}, \ \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The generalized difference double notion has the following representation: $\Delta^m x_{mn} = \Delta^{m-1} x_{mn} - \Delta^{m-1} x_{mn+1} - \Delta^{m-1} x_{m+1n} + \Delta^{m-1} x_{m+1n+1}$, and also this generalized difference double notion has the following binomial representation:

$$\Delta^{m} x_{mn} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{i+j} \binom{m}{i} \binom{m}{j} x_{m+i,n+j}.$$

2. Definition and Preliminaries

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and X be a real vector space of dimension w, where $n \leq w$. A real valued function $d_p(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \|(d_1(x_1), \ldots, d_n(x_n))\|_p$ on X satisfies the following four conditions:

(1) $\|(d_1(x_1),\ldots,d_n(x_n))\|_p=0$ if and and only if $d_1(x_1),\ldots,d_n(x_n)$ are linearly dependent,

- (2) $\|(d_1(x_1),\ldots,d_n(x_n))\|_p$ is invariant under permutation,
- (3) $\|(\alpha d_1(x_1), \dots, d_n(x_n))\|_p = |\alpha| \|(d_1(x_1), \dots, d_n(x_n))\|_p, \alpha \in \mathbb{R},$
- (4) $d_p((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \cdots (x_n, y_n)) = (d_X(x_1, x_2, \cdots x_n)^p + d_Y(y_1, y_2, \cdots y_n)^p)^{1/p}$, for
- (5) $d((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \cdots (x_n, y_n)) := \sup \{d_X(x_1, x_2, \cdots x_n), d_Y(y_1, y_2, \cdots y_n)\}, \text{ for } (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \cdots (x_n, y_n) = \sup \{d_X(x_1, x_2, \cdots x_n), d_Y(y_1, y_2, \cdots y_n)\}, \text{ for } (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \cdots (x_n, y_n) = \sup \{d_X(x_1, x_2, \cdots x_n), d_Y(y_1, y_2, \cdots y_n)\}, \text{ for } (x_1, y_2), \cdots (x_n, y_n) = \sup \{d_X(x_1, x_2, \cdots x_n), d_Y(y_1, y_2, \cdots y_n)\}, \text{ for } (x_1, y_2), \cdots (x_n, y_n) = \sup \{d_X(x_1, x_2, \cdots x_n), d_Y(y_1, y_2, \cdots y_n)\}, \text{ for } (x_1, y_2), \cdots (x_n, y_n) = \sup \{d_X(x_1, x_2, \cdots x_n), d_Y(x_1, y_2, \cdots x_n)\}, \text{ for } (x_1, y_2), \cdots (x_n, y_n) = \sup \{d_X(x_1, x_2, \cdots x_n), d_Y(x_1, y_2, \cdots x_n)\}, \text{ for } (x_1, y_2), \cdots (x_n, y_n) = \sup \{d_X(x_1, x_2, \cdots x_n), d_Y(x_1, y_2, \cdots x_n)\}, \text{ for } (x_1, y_2), \cdots (x_n, y_n) = \sup \{d_X(x_1, x_2, \cdots x_n), d_Y(x_1, y_2, \cdots x_n)\}, \text{ for } (x_1, y_2), \cdots (x_n, y_n) = \sup \{d_X(x_1, x_2, \cdots x_n), d_Y(x_1, y_2, \cdots x_n)\}\}$ $x_1, x_2, \dots x_n \in X, y_1, y_2, \dots y_n \in Y$ is called the p product metric.

A trivial example of the p product metric of n metric space is the p norm space $X = \mathbb{R}$ equipped with the following Euclidean metric in the product space with the p norm:

$$\|(d_{1}(x_{1}), \dots, d_{n}(x_{n}))\|_{E} = \sup \left(|\det(d_{mn}(x_{mn}))| \right)$$

$$= \sup \begin{pmatrix} |d_{11}(x_{11}) & d_{12}(x_{12}) & \dots & d_{1n}(x_{1n}) \\ |d_{21}(x_{21}) & d_{22}(x_{22}) & \dots & d_{2n}(x_{1n}) \\ | \vdots & & & & \\ | \vdots & & & & \\ |d_{n1}(x_{n1}) & d_{n2}(x_{n2}) & \dots & d_{nn}(x_{nn}) | \end{pmatrix},$$

where $x_i = (x_{i1}, \dots x_{in}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots n$.

If every Cauchy sequence in X converges to some $L \in X$, then X is said to be complete with respect to the p- metric. Any complete p- metric space is said to be a p- Banach metric space.

Let X be a linear metric space. A function $w: X \to \mathbb{R}$ is called paranorm, if

- (1) $w(x) \ge 0$, for all $x \in X$,
- (2) w(-x) = w(x), for all $x \in X$,
- (3) $w(x+y) \le w(x) + w(y)$, for all $x, y \in X$, and
- (4) If (σ_{mn}) is a sequence of scalars with $\sigma_{mn} \to \sigma$ as $m, n \to \infty$ and (x_{mn}) is a sequence of vectors with $w(x_{mn}-x)\to 0$ as $m,n\to\infty$, then $w(\sigma_{mn}x_{mn}-\sigma x)\to 0$ as $m,n\to\infty$.

A paranorm w for which w(x) = 0 implies x = 0 is called total paranorm and the pair (X, w)is called a total paranormed space. It is well known that the metric of any linear metric space is given by some total paranorm (see Wilansky (1984), Theorem 10.4.2, p. 183).

Let X be a non-empty set. Then a family of sets $I \subset 2^X$ (the class of all subsets of X) is called an ideal if and only if for each $A, B \in I$, we have $A \cup B \in I$ and for each $A \in I$ and each $B \subset A$, we have $B \in I$. A non-empty family of sets $F \subset 2^X$ is a filter on X if and only if $\phi \notin F$, for each $A, B \in F$, we have $A \cap B \in F$ and each $A \in F$ and $A \subset B$, we have $B \in F$. An ideal I is called non-trivial ideal if $I \neq \phi$ and $X \neq I$. Clearly $I \subset 2^X$ is a non-trivial ideal if and only $F = F(I) = \{X/A : A \in I\}$ is a filter on X. A non-trivial ideal $I \subset 2^X$ is called admissible if and only if $\{x\} \cdot x \in X\} \subset I$. A sequence $(x_{mn})_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X is said to be I- convergent to $0 \in X$, if for each $\epsilon > 0$ the set $A(\epsilon) = \{m, n \in \mathbb{N} : || (d_1(x_1), \dots, d_n(x_n)) - 0 ||_p \ge \epsilon\}$ belongs to I. Further details on ideals of 2^X can be found in Kopstyrko et al. (2001). The notion was further investigated by Salat et al. (2004) and others.

By the convergence of a double sequence we mean the convergence on the Pringsheim sense, that is, a double sequence $x=(x_{mn})$ has Prinsheim limit L (denoted by $P-\lim x=L$) provided that given $\epsilon>0$ there exists $n\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_{mn}-L|<\epsilon$ whenever m,n>n. We shall write this more briefly as P- convergent.

The double sequence $\theta_{rs} = \{(m_r, n_s)\}$ is called a double lacunary sequence if there exist two increasing integers such that

$$m_0=0, \varphi_r=m_r-m_{r-1}\to\infty \text{ as } r\to\infty \text{ and}$$

$$n_0=0, \varphi_s=n_s-n_{s-1}\to\infty \text{ as } s\to\infty.$$

Notations: $m_{rs} = m_r n_s, h_{rs} = \varphi_r \bar{\varphi}_s, \theta_{rs}$ are determined by

$$\begin{split} I_{rs} &= \left\{ (m,n) : m_{r-1} < m \le m_r \text{ and } n_{s-1} < n \le n_s \right\}, \\ q_r &= \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}, \bar{q_s} = \frac{n_s}{n_{s-1}} \text{ and } q_{rs} = q_r \bar{q_s}. \end{split}$$

The notion of λ – double gai and double analytic sequences are as follows. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_{mn})_{m,n=0}^{\infty}$ be a strictly increasing sequence of positive real numbers tending to infinity, that is,

$$0 < \lambda_{00} < \lambda_{11} < \cdots$$
 and $\lambda_{mn} \to \infty$ as $m, n \to \infty$

and said that a sequence $x=(x_{mn})\in w^2$ is $\lambda-$ convergent to 0 is called $\lambda-$ limit of x, if

$$\mu_{m\,n}(x) \to 0 \text{ as } m, n \to \infty,$$

where

$$\mu_{m \ n}\left(x\right) = \frac{1}{\varphi_{rs}} \sum_{m \in I_{rs}} \sum_{n \in I_{rs}} \left(\Delta^{m-1} \lambda_{m,n} - \Delta^{m-1} \lambda_{m,n+1} - \Delta^{m-1} \lambda_{m+1,n} + \Delta^{m-1} \lambda_{m+1,n+1}\right) \left|x_{mn}\right|^{1/m+n}.$$

The sequence $x = (x_{mn}) \in w^2$ is λ - double analytic if $\sup_{uv} |\mu_{mn}(x)| < \infty$. If $\lim_{mn} x_{mn} = 0$ in the ordinary sense of convergence, then

$$\lim_{mn} \frac{1}{\varphi_{rs}} \sum_{m \in I_{rs}} \sum_{n \in I_{rs}} (\Delta^{m-1} \lambda_{m,n} - \Delta^{m-1} \lambda_{m,n+1} - \Delta^{m-1} \lambda_{m+1,n} + \Delta^{m-1} \lambda_{m+1,n+1}) ((m+n)! |x_{mn} - 0|)^{1/m+n} = 0.$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{mn} |\mu_{mn}(x)| = \lim_{mn} \frac{1}{\varphi_{rs}} \sum_{m \in I_{rs}} \sum_{n \in I_{rs}} (\Delta^{m-1} \lambda_{m,n} - \Delta^{m-1} \lambda_{m,n+1} - \Delta^{m-1} \lambda_{m+1,n} + \Delta^{m-1} \lambda_{m+1,n+1}) ((m+n)! |x_{mn} - 0|)^{1/m+n}$$

$$= 0,$$

which yields that $\lim_{uv} \mu_{mn}(x) = 0$ and hence $x = (x_{mn}) \in w^2$ is λ - convergent to 0.

Let I^2- be an admissible ideal of $2^{\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}}$, θ_{rs} be a double lacunary sequence, $f=(f_{mn})$ be a Musielak-modulus function, and $\left(X,\|(d\left(x_1\right),d\left(x_2\right),\cdots,d\left(x_{n-1}\right))\|_p\right)$ be a p-metric space, $q=(q_{mn})$ be double analytic sequence of strictly positive real numbers. By $w^2\left(p-X\right)$ we denote the space of all sequences defined over $\left(X,\|(d\left(x_1\right),d\left(x_2\right),\cdots,d\left(x_{n-1}\right))\|_p\right)$. The following inequality will be used throughout the paper.

If
$$0 \le q_{mn} \le \sup q_{mn} = H, K = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$$
, then
$$|a_{mn} + b_{mn}|^{q_{mn}} \le K\{|a_{mn}|^{q_{mn}} + |b_{mn}|^{q_{mn}}\}, \tag{4}$$

for all m, n and $a_{mn}, b_{mn} \in \mathbb{C}$.

Also
$$|a|^{q_{mn}} \leq \max\left(1, |a|^H\right)$$
 for all $a \in \mathbb{C}$.

In the present paper we define the following sequence spaces:

$$\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2}$$

$$= \left\{r, s \in I_{rs} : \left[f_{mn}\left(\|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p\right)\right]^{q_{mn}} \ge \epsilon\right\} \in I^2,$$

and

$$\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2} \\
= \left\{r, s \in I_{rs} : \left[f_{mn}\left(\|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p\right)\right]^{q_{mn}} \ge K\right\} \in I^2.$$

If we take $f_{mn}(x) = x$, we get

$$\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2} \\
= \left\{r, s \in I_{rs} : \left[\left(\|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p\right)\right]^{q_{mn}} \ge \epsilon\right\} \in I^2,$$

and

$$\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2} \\
= \left\{r, s \in I_{rs} : \left[\left(\|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p\right)\right]^{q_{mn}} \ge K\right\} \in I^2.$$

If we take $q = (q_{mn}) = 1$, we get

$$\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2}, \|(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I} \\
= \left\{r, s \in I_{rs} : \left[f_{mn}\left(\|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_{p}\right)\right] \ge \epsilon\right\} \in I^{2},$$

and

$$\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2}, \|(d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2}}$$

$$= \left\{r, s \in I_{rs} : \left[f_{mn}\left(\|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_{p}\right)\right] \geq K\right\} \in I^{2}.$$

In the present paper we plan to study some topological properties and inclusion relations between the above defined sequence spaces,

$$\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q},\left\|\left(d\left(x_{1}\right),d\left(x_{2}\right),\cdots,d\left(x_{n-1}\right)\right)\right\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2}}\text{ and }\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q},\left\|\left(d\left(x_{1}\right),d\left(x_{2}\right),\cdots,d\left(x_{n-1}\right)\right)\right\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2}},$$

which we shall discuss in this paper.

3. Main Results

Theorem 1.

Let $f = (f_{mn})$ be a Musielak-Orlicz function and $q = (q_{mn})$ be a double analytic sequence of strictly positive real numbers. The sequence spaces

$$\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2}$$

and

$$\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2}$$

are linear spaces.

Proof:

It is routine verification. Therefore the proof is omitted.

Theorem 2.

Let $f = (f_{mn})$ be a Musielak-Orlicz function and $q = (q_{mn})$ be a double analytic sequence of strictly positive real numbers. The sequence space

$$\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|(d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2}$$

is a paranormed space with respect to the paranorm defined by

$$g(x) = \inf \left\{ \left[f_{mn} \left(\| \mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1})) \|_p \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \le 1 \right\}.$$

Proof:

Clearly
$$g(x) \ge 0$$
 for $x = (x_{mn}) \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \| (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1})) \|_p^{\varphi} \right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{r^2}$.

Since $f_{mn}(0) = 0$, we get g(0) = 0.

Conversely, suppose that g(x) = 0.

Then,
$$\inf \left\{ \left[f_{mn} \left(\| \mu_{mn} (x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1})) \|_p \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \leq 1 \right\}$$

Suppose that $\mu_{mn}\left(x\right)\neq0$ for each $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$. Then, $\|\mu_{mn}\left(x\right),\left(d\left(x_{1}\right),d\left(x_{2}\right),\cdots,d\left(x_{n-1}\right)\right)\|_{p}^{\varphi}\rightarrow\infty$.

It follows that

$$\left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\| \mu_{mn} (x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1})) \|_p \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \to \infty,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\mu_{mn}(x) = 0$.

Let
$$\left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\| \mu_{mn} (x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1})) \|_p \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \le 1$$

and

$$\left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\| \mu_{mn} (y), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1})) \|_p \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \le 1.$$

Then, by using Minkowski's inequality, we have

$$\left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\| \mu_{mn} (x+y), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1})) \|_p \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \\
\leq \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\| \mu_{mn} (x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1})) \|_p \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H} \\
+ \left(\left[f_{mn} \left(\| \mu_{mn} (y), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1})) \|_p \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \right)^{1/H}.$$

So we have

$$g(x+y) = \inf \left\{ \left[f_{mn} \left(\| \mu_{mn} (x+y), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1})) \|_p \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \le 1 \right\}$$

$$\leq \inf \left\{ \left[f_{mn} \left(\| \mu_{mn} (x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1})) \|_p \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \le 1 \right\}$$

$$+ \inf \left\{ \left[f_{mn} \left(\| \mu_{mn} (y), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1})) \|_p \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \le 1 \right\}.$$

Therefore,

$$g(x+y) \le g(x) + g(y).$$

Finally, to prove that the scalar multiplication is continuous, let λ be any complex number.

By definition,
$$g\left(\lambda x\right)=\inf\left\{ \left[f_{mn}\left(\left\|\mu_{mn}\left(\lambda x\right),\left(d\left(x_{1}\right),d\left(x_{2}\right),\cdots,d\left(x_{n-1}\right)\right)\right\|_{p}\right)\right]^{q_{mn}}\leq1\right\} .$$

Then,
$$g\left(\lambda x\right)=\inf\left\{\left(\left(\left|\lambda\right|t\right)^{q_{mn}/H}:\left[f_{mn}\left(\left\|\mu_{mn}\left(\lambda x\right),\left(d\left(x_{1}\right),d\left(x_{2}\right),\cdots,d\left(x_{n-1}\right)\right)\right\|_{p}\right)\right]^{q_{mn}}\leq1\right\},$$
 where $t=\frac{1}{\left|\lambda\right|}.$ Since $\left|\lambda\right|^{q_{mn}}\leq\max\left(1,\left|\lambda\right|^{\sup p_{mn}}\right),$ we have

$$g(\lambda x) \le \max(1, |\lambda|^{\sup p_{mn}}) \inf \left\{ t^{q_{mn}/H} : \left[f_{mn} \left(\|\mu_{mn}(\lambda x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1})) \|_p \right) \right]^{q_{mn}} \le 1 \right\}.$$

This completes the proof. \Box

Theorem 3.

(i) If the sequence (f_{mn}) satisfies uniform Δ_2 – condition, then

$$\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2\alpha}} \\
= \left[\chi_{g}^{2q\mu}, \|\mu_{uv}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2}}.$$

(ii) If the sequence (g_{mn}) satisfies uniform Δ_2 – condition, then

$$\left[\chi_{g}^{2q\mu}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2\alpha}} \\
= \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2}}.$$

Proof:

Let the sequence (f_{mn}) satisfy uniform Δ_2 — condition. We get

$$\left[\chi_{g}^{2q\mu}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2}}$$

$$\subset \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2\alpha}}.$$

To prove the inclusion

$$\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2\alpha}} \\
\subset \left[\chi_{g}^{2q\mu}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2}},$$

let $a \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta rs}^{I^{2\alpha}}$. Then for all $\{x_{mn}\}$ with $(x_{mn}) \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta rs}^{I^2}$ we have

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_{mn} a_{mn}| < \infty. \tag{5}$$

Since the sequence (f_{mn}) satisfies uniform Δ_2 – condition, then

$$(y_{mn}) \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{f^2},$$

and we get $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\varphi_{rs}y_{mn}a_{mn}}{\Delta^m \lambda_{mn}(m+n)!} \right| < \infty$ by (5). Thus

$$(\varphi_{rs}a_{mn}) \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2}$$

$$= \left[\chi_g^{2q\mu}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2}$$

and hence

$$(a_{mn}) \in \left[\chi_g^{2q\mu}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2}.$$

This gives that

$$\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2\alpha}} \\
\subset \left[\chi_{g}^{2q\mu}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2}}.$$

From above we have,

$$\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2\alpha}} \\
= \left[\chi_g^{2q\mu}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2}.$$

(ii) Similarly, one can prove that

$$\left[\chi_{g}^{2q\mu}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2\alpha}} \\
\subset \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2}}$$

if the sequence (g_{mn}) satisfies uniform Δ_2- condition. \square

Proposition 1.

If $0 < q_{mn} < p_{mn} < \infty$ for each m and m, then,

$$\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2} \\
\subseteq \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2p}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2}.$$

Proof:

The proof is standard, so we omit it.

Proposition 2.

(i) If $0 < \inf q_{mn} \le q_{mn} < 1$, then,

$$\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2} \\
\subset \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^2, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2}.$$

(ii) If $1 \le q_{mn} \le \sup q_{mn} < \infty$, then,

$$\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2}} \\
\subset \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2}}.$$

Proof:

The proof is standard, so we omit it.

Proposition 3.

Let $f' = (f'_{mn})$ and $f'' = (f''_{mn})$ are sequences of Musielak functions, we have

$$\left[\Lambda_{f'\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi} \right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2} \\
\cap \left[\Lambda_{f''\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi} \right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2} \\
\subseteq \left[\Lambda_{f'+f''\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi} \right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2}.$$

Proof:

The proof is easy so we omit it.

Proposition 4.

For any sequence of Musielak functions $f=(f_{mn})$ and $q=(q_{mn})$ be double analytic sequence of strictly positive real numbers. Then,

$$\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2}} \\
\subset \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_{1}), d(x_{2}), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2}}.$$

Proof:

The proof is easy so we omit it.

Proposition 5.

The sequence space $\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}\left(x\right), \left(d\left(x_{1}\right), d\left(x_{2}\right), \cdots, d\left(x_{n-1}\right)\right)\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2}}$ is solid.

Proof:

Let
$$x = (x_{mn}) \in \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi} \right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2}$$
, i.e.
$$\sup_{mn} \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi} \right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2} < \infty.$$

Let (α_{mn}) be double sequence of scalars such that $|\alpha_{mn}| \leq 1$ for all $m, n \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. Then we get

$$\sup_{mn} \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(\alpha x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi} \right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2}$$

$$\leq \sup_{mn} \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi} \right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2}.$$

This completes the proof. \Box

Proposition 6.

The sequence space $\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}\left(x\right), \left(d\left(x_{1}\right), d\left(x_{2}\right), \cdots, d\left(x_{n-1}\right)\right)\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2}}$ is monotone.

Proof:

The proof follows from Proposition 5.

Proposition 7.

If $f = (f_{mn})$ be any Musielak function. Then,

$$\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi^*}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2} \\
\subset \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi^{**}}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2},$$

if and only if, $\sup_{r,s\geq 1}\frac{\varphi^*_{rs}}{\varphi^{**}_{rs}}<\infty.$

Proof:

Let
$$x \in \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi^*}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2}$$
 and $N = \sup_{r,s \ge 1} \frac{\varphi_{rs}^*}{\varphi_{rs}^{**}} < \infty$. Then we get

$$\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi_{rs}^{**}}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2} \\
= N \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi_{rs}^{*}}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2} = 0.$$

Thus $x \in \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi^{**}}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2}$. Conversely, suppose that

$$\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi^*}\right]_{N_{\theta}}^{I} \\
\subset \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2qu}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi^{**}}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2}$$

and
$$x \in \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi^*}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2}$$
. Then
$$\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi^*}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2} < \epsilon, \text{ for every } \epsilon > 0.$$

Suppose that $\sup_{r,s\geq 1} \frac{\varphi_{rs}^*}{\varphi_{rs}^{**}} = \infty$, then there exists a sequence of members (rs_{jk}) such that $\lim_{j,k o \infty} rac{arphi_{jk}^{\circ}}{arphi_{jk}^{**}} = \infty.$ Hence, we have

$$\left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_{p}^{\varphi_{rs}^{*}}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2}} = \infty.$$

Therefore

$$x \notin \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi^{**}}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2},$$

which is a contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 8.

If $f = (f_{mn})$ be any Musielak function. Then

$$\begin{split} \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \| \mu_{mn} \left(x \right), \left(d \left(x_1 \right), d \left(x_2 \right), \cdots, d \left(x_{n-1} \right) \right) \|_p^{\varphi^*} \right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2} &= \\ & \left[\Lambda_{f\mu}^{2q}, \| \mu_{mn} \left(x \right), \left(d \left(x_1 \right), d \left(x_2 \right), \cdots, d \left(x_{n-1} \right) \right) \|_p^{\varphi^{**}} \right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2}, \end{split}$$
 and only if $\sup_{r,s > 1} \frac{\varphi_{rs}^*}{\sigma^{**}} < \infty, \sup_{r,s > 1} \frac{\varphi_{rs}^{**}}{\sigma^{*}} > \infty.$

if and only if $\sup_{r,s\geq 1} \frac{\varphi^*_{rs}}{\varphi^{**}_{rs}} < \infty, \sup_{r,s\geq 1} \frac{\varphi^{**}_{rs}}{\varphi^*_{rs}} > \infty.$

Proof:

It is easy to prove so we omit.

Proposition 9.

The sequence space $\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}\left(x\right), \left(d\left(x_{1}\right), d\left(x_{2}\right), \cdots, d\left(x_{n-1}\right)\right)\right]_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta}^{I^{2}}$ is not solid.

Proof:

The result follows from the following example.

Example.

Consider

$$x = (x_{mn}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & & & \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \dots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^{\varphi} \right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2}.$$

Let

$$\alpha_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} -1^{m+n} & -1^{m+n} & \dots & -1^{m+n} \\ -1^{m+n} & -1^{m+n} & \dots & -1^{m+n} \\ \vdots & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & \\ -1^{m+n} & -1^{m+n} & \dots & -1^{m+n} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ for all } m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then
$$\alpha_{mn}x_{mn} \notin \left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2}$$
. Hence $\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}(x), (d(x_1), d(x_2), \cdots, d(x_{n-1}))\|_p^\varphi\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^2}$ is not solid.

Proposition 10.

The sequence space
$$\left[\chi_{f\mu}^{2q}, \|\mu_{mn}\left(x\right), \left(d\left(x_{1}\right), d\left(x_{2}\right), \cdots, d\left(x_{n-1}\right)\right)\|_{p}^{\varphi}\right]_{\theta_{rs}}^{I^{2}}$$
 is not monotone.

Proof:

The proof follows from Proposition 9.

4. Conclusion

We introduce generalized difference sequence spaces via ideal convergence, lacunary of χ^2 sequence spaces over p- metric spaces defined by Musielak-Orlicz function and also discuss some topological properties of our proved results on these spaces. The growing interest in this field is strongly stimulated by the treatment of recent problems in elasticity, fluid dynamics, calculus of variations, and differential equations. One can extend our results for more general spaces.

Acknowledgments

The authors are extremely grateful to the anonymous learned referee(s) for their keen reading, valuable suggestion and constructive comments for the improvement of the manuscript. The authors are thankful to the editor(s) and reviewers of Applications and Applied Mathematics, and also the second author wishes to thank the Department of Science and Technology, Government of India for the financial sanction towards this work under FIST program SR/FST/MSI-107/2015.

REFERENCES

Altay, B. and BaŞar, F. (2005). Some new spaces of double sequences, J. Math. Anal. Appl., Vol. 309, No. 1, pp. 70-90.

BaŞar, F. and Sever, Y. (2009). The space \mathcal{L}_p of double sequences, Math. J. Okayama Univ, Vol. 51, pp. 149-157.

Basarir, M. and Solancan, O. (1999). On some double sequence spaces, J. Indian Acad. Math., Vol. 21, No. 2, pp. 193-200.

Bromwich, T.J.I'A. (1965). *An introduction to the theory of infinite series*, Macmillan and Co. Ltd., New York.

Hardy, G.H. (1917). On the convergence of certain multiple series, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., Vol. 19, pp. 86-95.

Chandra, P. and Tripathy, B.C. (2002). On generalized Kothe-Toeplitz duals of some sequence spaces, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 33, No. 8, pp. 1301-1306.

Cannor, J. (1989). On strong matrix summability with respect to a modulus and statistical

- convergence, Canad. Math. Bull., Vol. 32, No. 2, pp. 194-198.
- Goes, G. and Goes, S. (1970). Sequences of bounded variation and sequences of Fourier coefficients, Math. Z., Vol. 118, pp. 93-102.
- Gupta, M. and Pradhan, S. (2008). On Certain Type of Modular Sequence space, Turk J. Math., Vol. 32, pp. 293-303.
- Gökhan, A. and Çolak, R. (2004). The double sequence spaces $c_2^P(p)$ and $c_2^{PB}(p)$, Appl. Math. Comput., Vol. 157, No. 2, pp. 491-501.
- Gökhan, A. and Çolak, R. (2005). Double sequence spaces ℓ_2^{∞} , ibid., Vol. 160, No. 1, pp. 147-153.
- Hamilton, H.J. (1936). Transformations of multiple sequences, Duke Math. J., Vol. 2, pp. 29-60.
- Hamilton, H.J. (1938). A Generalization of multiple sequences transformation, Duke Math. J., Vol. 4, pp. 343-358.
- Hamilton, H.J. (1939). Preservation of partial Limits in Multiple sequence transformations, Duke Math. J., Vol. 4, pp. 293-297.
- Kamthan, P.K. and Gupta, M. (1981). Sequence spaces and series, Lecture notes, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 65 Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York.
- Krasnoselskii, M.A. and Rutickii, Y.B. (1961). *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*, Gorningen, Netherlands.
- Lindenstrauss, J. and Tzafriri, L. (1971). On Orlicz sequence spaces, Israel J. Math., Vol. 10, pp. 379-390.
- Maddox, I.J. (1986). Sequence spaces defined by a modulus, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc, Vol. 100, No. 1, pp. 161-166.
- Moricz, F., (1991). Extentions of the spaces c and c_0 from single to double sequences, Acta. Math. Hung., Vol. 57, No. 1-2, pp. 129-136.
- Moricz, F. and Rhoades, B. E. (1988). Almost convergence of double sequences and strong regularity of summability matrices, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., Vol. 104, pp. 283-294.
- Mursaleen, M. and Edely, O.H.H. (2003). Statistical convergence of double sequences, J. Math. Anal. Appl., Vol. 288, No. 1, pp. 223-231.
- Mursaleen, M., (2004). Almost strongly regular matrices and a core theorem for double sequences, J. Math. Anal. Appl., Vol. 293, No. 2, pp. 523-531.
- Mursaleen, M. and Edely, O.H.H. (2004). Almost convergence and a core theorem for double sequences, J. Math. Anal. Appl., Vol. 293, No. 2, pp. 532-540.
- Mishra, V.N. (2007). Some problems on approximations of functions in Banach spaces, Ph.D thesis, Indian Institute of Technology, Roorkee 247 667, Uttarakhand, India.
- Mishra, V.N. and Mishra, L. N. (2012). Trigonometric Approximation of signals (Functions) in L_p ($p \ge 1$) norm, International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 7, No. 19, pp. 909-918.
- Nakano, H. (1953). Concave modulars, J. Math. Soc. Japan, Vol. 5, pp. 29-49.
- Pringsheim, A. (1900). Zurtheorie derzweifach unendlichen zahlenfolgen, Math. Ann., Vol. 53, pp. 289-321.
- Robison, G.M. (1926). Divergent double sequences and series, Amer. Math. Soc. Trans., Vol. 28, pp. 50-73.
- Ruckle, W.H. (1973). FK spaces in which the sequence of coordinate vectors is bounded, Canad.

- J. Math., Vol. 25, pp. 973-978.
- Subramanian, N. and Misra, U.K. (2010). The semi normed space defined by a double gai sequence of modulus function, Fasciculi Math., Vol. 46.
- Tripathy, B.C. (2003). On statistically convergent double sequences, Tamkang J. Math., 34, No. 3, pp. 231-237.
- Tripathy, B.C. and Mahanta, S. (2004). On a class of vector valued sequences associated with multiplier sequences, Acta Math. Applicata Sinica (Eng. Ser.), Vol. 20, No. 3, pp. 487-494.
- Turkmenoglu, A. (1999). Matrix transformation between some classes of double sequences, J. Inst. Math. Comp. Sci. Math. Ser., Vol. 12, No. 1, pp. 23-31.
- Tripathy, B.C. and Sen, M. (2006). Characterization of some matrix classes involving paranormed sequence spaces, Tamkang Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 37, No. 2, pp. 155-162.
- Tripathy, B.C. and Dutta, A.J. (2007). On fuzzy real-valued double sequence spaces $_2\ell_F^p$, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, Vol. 46, No. 9-10, pp. 1294-1299.
- Tripathy, B.C. and Sarma, B. (2008). Statistically convergent difference double sequence spaces, Acta Mathematica Sinica, Vol. 24, No. 5, pp. 737-742.
- Tripathy, B.C. and Sarma, B. (2009). Vector valued double sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function, Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 59, No. 6, pp. 767-776.
- Tripathy, B.C. and Dutta, A.J. (2010). Bounded variation double sequence space of fuzzy real numbers, Computers and Mathematics with Applications, Vol. 59, No. 2, pp. 1031-1037.
- Tripathy, B.C. and Sarma, B. (2011). Double sequence spaces of fuzzy numbers defined by Orlicz function, Acta Mathematica Scientia, Vol. 31, No. B(1), pp. 134-140.
- Tripathy, B.C. and Chandra, P. (2011). On some generalized difference paranormed sequence spaces associated with multiplier sequences defined by modulus function, Anal. Theory Appl., Vol. 27, No. 1, pp. 21-27.
- Tripathy, B.C. and Dutta, A.J. (2013). Lacunary bounded variation sequence of fuzzy real numbers, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems, Vol. 24, No. 1, pp. 185-189.
- Wilansky, A. (1984). *Summability through Functional Analysis*, North-Holland Mathematical Studies, North-Holland Publishing, Amsterdam, Vol. 85.
- Woo, J.Y.T. (1973). On Modular Sequence spaces, Studia Math., Vol. 48, pp. 271-289.
- Zeltser, M. (2001). *Investigation of Double Sequence Spaces by Soft and Hard Analitical Methods, Dissertationes Mathematicae Universitatis Tartuensis* 25, Tartu University Press, Univ. of Tartu, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, Tartu.