

**THESIS/DISSERTATION/DOCTORAL PROJECT FINAL DEFENSE EVALUATION
BY COMMITTEE MEMBER**

The following student has completed his/her Thesis/Dissertation/Doctoral Project Final Defense.

Name: _____ **ID:** _____

Program: _____ **Degree Type:** _____

Title of Thesis/Dissertation/Doctoral Project: _____

Committee Members' Comments:

- Approved with no changes -
 (Provide detailed summary.)**
- Approved with minor changes
 (Provide detailed summary.)**
- Not approved, significant revisions and new final defense is required
 (Provide detailed summary.)**

Committee's Overall Recommendation for Student:

Member Name	Member Signature	Date
_____	_____ Chair	_____
_____	_____ Co-Chair (if applicable)	_____
_____	_____ Member	_____

Graduate Program Coordinator: _____

Department Head: _____

Dean of College/School: _____

Dean of Graduate Studies: _____

Each Committee Member submits this Form to the Chair who then uploads it to SharePoint for review by Graduate Studies.

Rubric for Successful Thesis/Dissertation/Doctoral Project FINAL DEFENSE

Category	Description	Rating (1-5)	
		Comments/Rating	
Research Questions/Introduction	Provides clear introduction of the problem; provides justification for the study; clarifies questions and provides a clearly articulated and defensible hypothesis		
Literature Review/References and Citations	Identifies relevant research and literature; provides well-organized and timely critique; included appropriate use of references and in-text citations		
Theory	Uses theory, if applicable, to generate the hypothesis or to clarify the problem area		
Methodology	Identifies appropriate methods and techniques; identifies any limitations, and provided a clear plan for analysis		
Analysis/ Presentation of Results	Clearly align with hypothesis and methodology; results were presented visually (e.g. tables and figures) and clearly summarized		
Discussion/Implications/Limitations	Gives clear summary of key insights gained; clarifies how findings and hypothesis advance the field; included new knowledge along with implications of findings for theory, limitations, and future research		
Quality of Writing	Was well-organized; cohesive; free of mechanical and grammatical errors		
Overall Quality of Research and Presentation of Work	Responses were well-poised and demonstrated knowledge of field of study; answers questions accurately, was able to clarify concerns in the document; articulated with appropriate word choice; body language was confident and professional; exhibited ability to maintain eye contact and poise; was responsive to feedback		
FINAL OUTCOME		PASS	FAIL

Each Committee Member Adds Comments in Textbox

Committee Member's Signature _____ Date: _____