## PRAIRIE VIEW A&M UNIVERSITY BACCALAUREATE SOCIAL WORK PROGRAM

## ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

## LAST COMPLETED ON February 2, 2017

**Form AS4 (B)** Duplicate and expand as needed. Provide table(s) to support self -study narrative addressing the *accreditation standards* below.

This form is used to assist the COA in the evaluation of the program's compliance with the accreditation standards below:

- 4.0.2 The program provides summary data and outcomes for the assessment of each of its competencies, identifying the percentage of students achieving the benchmark.
- 4.0.4 The program uses Form AS 4 (B) and/or AS4 (M) to report assessment outcomes to its constituents and the public on its website and routinely up-dates (minimally every 2 years) these postings

All Council on Social Work Education programs measure and report student learning outcomes. Students are assessed on their mastery of the competencies that comprise the accreditation standards of the Council on Social Work Education. These competencies are dimensions of social work practice that all social workers are expected to master during their professional training. A measurement benchmark is set by the social work programs for each competency. An assessment score at or above that benchmark is considered by the program to represent mastery of that particular competency.

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK
		ACHIEVING BENCHWARK
	Measure #1 –Field	
	Supervisor Measure	Measure # 1- Benchmark=70 %
	Measure #2 – Program	Measure # 2 - Benchmark= 70%
	Senior Students Measure	
Identify as a Professional	Measure #1 – 70%	Measure #1 – 91%
Social Worker	Measure #2 - 70%	Measure #2 - 91%
Apply Ethical	Measure #1 – 70%	Measure #1 - 90%
Principles	Measure #2 -70%	Measure #2 – 92%
Apply Critical	Measure #1 – 70%	Measure #1 – 91%
Thinking	Measure #2 -70%	Measure #2 – 86%
Engage Diversity in	Measure #1 - 70%	Measure #1 - 88%
Practice	Measure #2 - 70%	Measure #2 - 96%
Advance Human Rights/ Social and	Measure #1 - 70%	Measure #1 - 87%
Economic Justice	Measure #2 - 70%	Measure #2 –93%
Engage Research Informed Practice/	Measure #1 - 70%	Measure #1 - 85%
Practice Informed Research	Measure #2 – 70%	Measure #2 - 87%
Apply Human Behavior	Measure #1 - 70%	Measure #1 - 85%
Knowledge	Measure #2 -70%	Measure #2 - 85%
Engage Policy Practice to Advance	Measure #1 - 70%	Measure #1 - 82%
Well-Being and Deliver Services	Measure #2 - 70%	Measure #2 - 83%
Respond to Practice Contexts	Measure #1 - 70%	Measure #1 - 88%
	Measure #2 - 70%	Measure #2 - 89%
Practice Engagement	Measure #1 - 70%	Measure #1 - 91%
. raduod <u>=</u> rigagomem	Measure #2 – 70%	Measure #2 – 85%
Practice Assessment	Measure #1 - 70%	Measure #1 – 90%
	Measure #2 – 70%	Measure #2 – 89%
Practice Intervention	Measure #1 - 70%	Measure #1 – 87%
aa.aa marraman	Measure #2 – 70%	Measure #2 –90%
Practice Evaluation	Measure #1 - 70%	Measure #1 – 85%
Tablio Evaluation	Measure #1 - 70%	Measure #2 – 85%

Both instruments completed August 2016.

N = 42 Students (graduating seniors) Measure

N = 42 Field Supervisors evaluation of practicum seniors Measure