



Boundedness and Square Integrability in Neutral Differential Systems of Fourth Order

¹Mebrouk Rahmane, ²Moussadek Remili and ³Linda D. Oudjedi

¹Department of Mathematics
University of Adrar Ahmed Draia
01000 Adrar, Algeria
mebroukrahmane@gmail.com

^{2,3}Department of Mathematics,
University of Oran 1 Ahmed Ben Bella,
31000 Oran, Algeria,
²remilimous@gmail.com; ³oudjedi@yahoo.fr

Received: May 28, 2018; Accepted: September 19, 2018

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to study the asymptotic behavior of solutions to a class of fourth-order neutral differential equations. We discuss the stability, boundedness and square integrability of solutions for the considered system. The technique of proofs involves defining an appropriate Lyapunov functional. Our results obtained in this work improve and extend some existing well-known related results in the relevant literature which were obtained for nonlinear differential equations of fourth order with a constant delay. The obtained results here are new even when our equation is specialized to the forms previously studied and include many recent results in the literature. Finally, an example is given to show the feasibility of our results.

Keywords: Lyapunov functional; Neutral differential equations of fourth order; Uniform asymptotic stability; Square integrability

MSC 2010 No.: 34C11, 34D20, 34D23

1. Introduction

The study of qualitative properties of differential equations has a long history, and qualitative theories have been developed for various kinds of ordinary differential equations. It is well known that the qualitative analysis of differential equations is related to both pure and applied mathematics. Its applications to various fields such as science, engineering, and ecology have been extensively developed.

Applications of neutral differential equations include electrodynamics, control systems, neutron transportation, mixing liquids and population models and many other fields in real life. Asymptotic behavior and stability of solutions of such systems play an important role when one studies qualitative properties of those systems.

In literature we find some results concerning second order differential equations of neutral type (Guiling et al. (2014)), but in the case of third and fourth order of neutral type there are very few results. While for the delay differential equations, the literature of third and fourth order is full of results on qualitative properties (boundedness, stability, square integrability) (see Abou-El-Ela et al. (2009), Bereketoglu (1998), Remili and Beldjerd (2017), Remili and Oudjedi (2016), Greaf et al. (2015), Kang et al. (2010), Rahmane and Remili (2015), Remili and Beldjerd (2016), Sadek (2004), Sinha (1973), Tejumola and Tchegnani(2000), Tunç (2010)). Some of the previous results inspire us to study.

2. Assumptions and main results

In this article, we develop the conditions under which all the solutions of the following equation are stable, bounded and square integrable:

$$\begin{aligned} (q(t)(x'''(t) + \rho x'''(t-r)))' + a(t)x'''(t) + b(t)x''(t) + c(t)x'(t) \\ + d(t)h(x(t)) = p(t, x(t), x'(t), x''(t), x'''(t)), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where ρ and r are positive constants to be determined later and $a(\cdot), b(\cdot), c(\cdot), d(\cdot), q(\cdot)$ and $h(x)$ are continuous functions depending only on the arguments shown and $h'(x)$ exists and is continuous.

For the sake of convenience we introduce the following notation,

$$X(t) = x(t) + \rho x(t-r).$$

By a solution of (1) we mean a continuous function $x : [t_x, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $X(t) \in C^3([t_x, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ and which satisfies Equation (1) on $[t_x, \infty)$. Without further mention, we will assume throughout that every solution $x(t)$ of (1) under consideration here is continuable to the right and nontrivial, i.e, $x(t)$ is defined on some ray $[t_x, \infty)$. Moreover, we assume that (1) possesses such solutions.

Suppose that there exist positive constants $a_0, b_0, c_0, d_0, a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, h_0, q_0, q_1, \delta$ and δ_0 such that the following conditions hold,

$$\text{i) } 0 < a_0 \leq a(t) \leq a_1, \quad 0 < b_0 \leq b(t) \leq b_1, \quad 0 < c_0 \leq c(t) \leq c_1, \quad 0 < d_0 \leq d(t) \leq d_1, \\ 0 < q_0 \leq q(t) \leq q_1 < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad d'(t) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

$$\text{ii) } h(0) = 0, \quad \frac{h(x)}{x} \geq \delta > 0 \quad \text{for } x \neq 0.$$

$$\text{iii) } h_0 - \frac{a_0 \delta_0}{d_1} \leq h'(x) \leq \frac{h_0}{2} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$\text{iv) } b_0 > \frac{c_1}{a_0} + \frac{a_1 h_0 d_1}{c_0} + \frac{\delta_0}{a_0} = \kappa.$$

The following lemma will be useful in the proof of the next theorem.

Lemma 2.1. (Hara (1974))

Let $h(0) = 0$, $xh(x) > 0$ ($x \neq 0$) and $\delta(t) - h'(x) \geq 0$ ($\delta(t) > 0$). Then,

$$2\delta(t)H(x) \geq h^2(x), \quad \text{where } H(x) = \int_0^x h(s)ds.$$

The main objective of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2.

Further to assumptions (i)-(iv), assume that there are positive constants η_1 and η_2 such that the following conditions are satisfied

$$\text{H1) } \int_0^{+\infty} (|a'(t)| + |b'(t)| + |c'(t)| + |q'(t)| - d'(t)) dt < \eta_1.$$

$$\text{H2) } |p(t, x, x', x'', x''')| \leq |e(t)| \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^{+\infty} |e(t)| dt < \eta_2.$$

Then, there exists a finite positive constant K_0 such that every solution $x(\cdot)$ of (1) and their derivatives $x'(\cdot)$, $x''(\cdot)$, $x'''(\cdot)$ and $X'''(\cdot)$ satisfy

$$1. \quad |x(t)| \leq K_0, \quad |x'(t)| \leq K_0, \quad |x''(t)| \leq K_0, \quad |X'''(t)| \leq K_0, \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0,$$

$$2. \quad \int_0^{\infty} (x^2(s) + x'^2(s) + x''^2(s) + x'''^2(s)) ds < \infty,$$

provided that

$$\rho < \min \left\{ 1, \frac{2\varepsilon}{\alpha h_0}, \frac{2\varepsilon c_0}{\alpha c_1 + \alpha d_1 \lambda_0}, 2 \frac{b_0 - \kappa - \varepsilon (a_1 + c_1)}{\alpha b_1 + \beta + \alpha d_1 \lambda_0 + \alpha d_1}, \frac{2\varepsilon a_0}{\alpha(2a_1 + b_1 + c_1 + d_1) + 5 + \beta} \right\},$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{a_0} + \varepsilon, \quad \beta = \frac{d_1 h_0}{c_0} + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon < \min \left\{ \frac{1}{a_0}, \frac{d_1 h_0}{c_0}, \frac{b_0 - \kappa}{a_1 + c_1} \right\}. \tag{2}$$

Proof:

We can write Equation (1) in the differential system form as

$$\begin{aligned} x' &= y, \\ y' &= z, \\ z' &= w, \\ W' &= \frac{1}{q(t)} \left[-a(t)w + -b(t)z - c(t)y - d(t)h(x) + p(t, x, y, z, w) - q'(t)W \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

System (3) is obtained from Equation (1) by setting

$$\begin{aligned} X'(t) &= x'(t) + \rho x'(t-r) = y(t) + \rho y(t-r) = Y(t), \\ X''(t) &= x''(t) + \rho x''(t-r) = z(t) + \rho z(t-r) = Z(t), \\ X'''(t) &= x'''(t) + \rho x'''(t-r) = w(t) + \rho w(t-r) = W(t). \end{aligned}$$

We define a functional $U = U(t, x, y, z, w)$ given by

$$U = e^{-\frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^t \gamma(s) ds} V, \tag{4}$$

where

$$\gamma(t) = |a'(t)| + |b'(t)| + |c'(t)| + |q'(t)| - d'(t),$$

the function $V = V(t, x, y, z, w)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} 2V &= a(t)z^2 + 2\beta a(t)yz + 2\beta q(t)yW + 2q(t)zW + 2\alpha c(t)yz + c(t)y^2 \\ &+ 2d(t)h(x)y + 2\alpha d(t)h(x)Z + [\beta b(t) - \alpha h_0 d(t)]y^2 - \beta q(t)z^2 + \alpha q(t)W^2 \\ &+ \alpha \rho d(t)(z(t-r))^2 + 2\beta d(t)H(x) + \alpha b(t)z^2 \\ &+ \mu_1 \int_{t-r}^t z^2(s) ds + \mu_2 \int_{t-r}^t w^2(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

and η is a positive constant, which will be determined later in the proof. By adding and subtracting

some terms we can rewrite $2V$ as

$$2V = V_1 + V_2 + V_3 + V_4 + a(t) \left[\frac{q(t)W}{a(t)} + z + \beta y \right]^2 + c(t) \left[\frac{d(t)h(x)}{c(t)} + y + \alpha z \right]^2 + \frac{d^2(t)h^2(x)}{c(t)} + \mu_1 \int_{t-r}^t z^2(s) ds + \mu_2 \int_{t-r}^t w^2(s) ds,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &= 2d(t) \int_0^x h(s) \left[\frac{d_1 h_0}{c_0} - 2 \frac{d(t)}{c(t)} h'(s) \right] ds, \\ V_2 &= [\alpha b(t) - \beta q(t) - \alpha^2 c(t)] z^2, \\ V_3 &= [\beta b(t) - \alpha h_0 d(t) - \beta^2 a(t)] y^2 + \left[\frac{\alpha}{q(t)} - \frac{1}{a(t)} \right] q^2(t) W^2, \\ V_4 &= 2\epsilon d(t) H(x) + 2\alpha \rho d(t) h(x) z(t-r) + \alpha \rho d(t) (z(t-r))^2. \end{aligned}$$

To prove that V is positive definite it suffices to show that V_1, V_2, V_3 and V_4 are positives. Remark that the estimate (2) implies

$$\frac{1}{a_0} < \alpha < 2 \frac{1}{a_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d_1 h_0}{c_0} < \beta < 2 \frac{d_1 h_0}{c_0}. \tag{5}$$

Then, using conditions (i) through (iv), and inequalities (2) and (5) we obtain the following,

$$\begin{aligned} V_1 &\geq 2d(t) \int_0^x h(s) \frac{d_1}{c_0} [h_0 - 2h'(s)] ds \\ &\geq 4 \frac{d_0 d_1}{c_0} \int_0^x h(s) \left[\frac{h_0}{2} - h'(s) \right] ds \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging V_2 we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} V_2 &= \alpha \left[b(t) - \beta a(t) - \alpha c(t) \right] z^2 + \beta \left[\alpha a(t) - q(t) \right] z^2 \\ &\geq \alpha \left[b(t) - \left(\frac{d_1 h_0}{c_0} + \epsilon \right) a(t) - \left(\frac{1}{a_0} + \epsilon \right) c(t) \right] z^2 + \beta \left[\frac{a(t)}{a_0} - 1 \right] z^2 \\ &\geq \alpha \left[b_0 - \frac{a_1 d_1 h_0}{c_0} - \frac{c_1}{a_0} - \epsilon (a_1 + c_1) \right] z^2 \\ &\geq \alpha \left[b_0 - \kappa - \epsilon (a_1 + c_1) \right] z^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We also have,

$$\begin{aligned} V_3 &\geq \beta \left(b_0 - \frac{\alpha}{\beta} h_0 d_1 - \beta a_1 \right) y^2 + \left(\alpha - \frac{1}{a_0} \right) q_0^2 W^2 \\ &\geq \beta \left(b_0 - \frac{c_0}{a_0} - a_1 \frac{d_1 h_0}{c_0} - \epsilon (c_0 + a_1) \right) y^2 + \epsilon q_0^2 W^2 \\ &\geq \beta (b_0 - \kappa - \epsilon (c_1 + a_1)) y^2 + \epsilon q_0^2 W^2 \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and by the estimate of ρ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_4 &= 2\varepsilon d(t) \int_0^x h(\xi)d\xi + \alpha\rho d(t) [(z(t-r) + h(x))^2 - h^2(x)] \\ &\geq 2\varepsilon d(t) \int_0^x h(\xi)d\xi - 2\alpha\rho d(t) \int_0^x h'(\xi)h(\xi)d\xi \\ &\geq 2d(t) \int_0^x \left(\varepsilon - \frac{\alpha\rho h_0}{2}\right) h(\xi)d\xi \\ &\geq 2d_0 \left(\varepsilon - \frac{\alpha\rho h_0}{2}\right) H(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exists a positive number D_0 such that

$$2V \geq D_0 (y^2 + z^2 + W^2 + H(x)).$$

By Lemma 2.1 and condition iii) we conclude that there exists a positive number D_1 such that

$$2V \geq D_1 (x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + W^2). \tag{6}$$

Thus, V is positive definite. Then, we can find positive definite functions $U_1(\|\xi\|)$ and $U_2(\|\xi\|)$ such that $U_1(\|\xi\|) \leq V \leq U_2(\|\xi\|)$. By (4) and inequality (6), we get

$$U \geq D_2(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + W^2), \tag{7}$$

where $D_2 = \frac{D_1}{2} e^{-\frac{\eta_1}{n}}$. Therefore, by conditions H1 and H2 we can find positive definite functions $W_1(\|\xi\|)$ and $W_2(\|\xi\|)$ such that $W_1(\|\xi\|) \leq U \leq W_2(\|\xi\|)$.

Now we prove that \dot{U} is a negative definite function using the following derivative,

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\alpha q(t)W^2(t)) = -\alpha q'^2 + 2\alpha W(t) \frac{d}{dt}(q(t)W(t)).$$

Calculating the time derivative of the function V , along any solution $(x(t), y(t), z(t), w(t))$ of system (3), we have

$$2\dot{V}_{(3)} = V_5 + V_6 + V_7 + V_8 + V_9 + 2(\beta y + z + \alpha W)p(t, x, y, z, w),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} V_5 &= -2 \left(\frac{d_1 h_0}{c_0} c(t) - d(t) h'(x) \right) y^2 - 2\alpha d(t) (h_0 - h'(x)) yz, \\ V_6 &= -2(b(t) - \alpha c(t) - \beta a(t)) z^2, \\ V_7 &= -2(\alpha a(t) - q(t)) w^2, \\ V_8 &= -2\varepsilon c(t) y^2 - 2\alpha\rho a(t) w_t w - 2\alpha\rho b(t) z w_t - 2\alpha\rho c(t) y w_t + 2\alpha\rho d(t) h'(x) y z_t \\ &\quad + \mu_1 z^2 + \mu_2 w^2 - \mu_1 z_t^2 - \mu_2 w_t^2 + 2\alpha\rho d(t) z_t w_t + 2\rho q(t) w w_t + 2\beta\rho q(t) z w_t, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V_9 &= d'(t) [2\beta H(x) - \alpha h_0 y^2 + 2h(x) y + 2\alpha h(x) z] + c'(t) [y^2 + 2\alpha y z] \\ &\quad + b'(t) [\alpha z^2 + \beta y^2] + a'(t) [z^2 + 2\beta y z] - \alpha q'(t) W^2 - \beta q'(t) z^2 \\ &\quad + \alpha\rho d'(t) [z(t-r) + h(x)]^2 - \alpha\rho d'(t) h^2(x). \end{aligned}$$

Again using conditions i), iii), iv), and inequalities (2) and (5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} V_5 &\leq -2 [d(t) h_0 - d(t) h'(x)] y^2 - 2\alpha d(t) [h_0 - h'(x)] yz \\ &\leq -2d(t) [h_0 - h'(x)] y^2 - 2\alpha d(t) [h_0 - h'(x)] yz \\ &\leq -2d(t) [h_0 - h'(x)] \left[\left(y + \frac{\alpha}{2} z\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} z\right)^2 \right] \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha^2}{2} d(t) [h_0 - h'(x)] z^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} V_5 + V_6 &\leq -2 \left[b(t) - \alpha c(t) - \beta a(t) - \frac{\alpha^2}{4} d(t) [h_0 - h'(x)] \right] z^2 \\ &\leq -2 \left[b_0 - \left(\frac{1}{a_0} + \varepsilon\right) c_1 - \left(\frac{d_1 h_0}{c_0} + \varepsilon\right) a_1 - \frac{\alpha^2}{4} (a_0 \delta_0) \right] z^2 \\ &\leq -2 \left[b_0 - \frac{c_1}{a_0} - \frac{d_1 h_0 a_1}{c_0} - \frac{\delta_0}{a_0} - \varepsilon (a_1 + c_1) \right] z^2 \\ &\leq -2 [b_0 - \kappa - \varepsilon (a_1 + c_1)] z^2 \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$V_7 \leq -2 [\alpha a_0 - 1] w^2 = -2\varepsilon a_0 w^2 \leq 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} V_8 &\leq -2\varepsilon c(t) y^2 + \alpha \rho a_1 w_t^2 + \alpha \rho a_1 w^2 + \alpha \rho b_1 z^2 + \alpha \rho b_1 w_t^2 + \alpha \rho c_1 y^2 \\ &\quad + \alpha \rho c_1 w_t^2 + \alpha \rho d_1 \lambda_0 y^2 + \alpha \rho d_1 \lambda_0 z_t^2 + \mu_1 z^2 + \mu_2 w^2 - \mu_1 z_t^2 - \mu_2 w_t^2 \\ &\quad + \alpha \rho d_1 z_t^2 + \alpha \rho d_1 w_t^2 + 2\rho w^2 + \beta \rho z^2 + 2\rho w_t^2 + \beta \rho w_t^2 - 2\rho |ww_t| + (\rho - \rho^2) w_t^2 \\ &\leq - (2\varepsilon c_0 - \alpha \rho c_1 - \alpha \rho d_1 \lambda_0) y^2 + (\alpha \rho b_1 + \beta \rho + \mu_1) z^2 + (\alpha \rho a_1 + 2\rho + \mu_2) w^2 \\ &\quad + (\alpha \rho d_1 \lambda_0 + \alpha \rho d_1 - \mu_1) z_t^2 + (\alpha \rho a_1 + \alpha \rho b_1 + \alpha \rho c_1 + \alpha \rho d_1 + \beta \rho + 3\rho - \mu_2) w_t^2 \\ &\quad - \rho^2 w_t^2 - 2\rho |ww_t|, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\lambda_0 = \max \left\{ \frac{h_0}{2}, \left| h_0 - \frac{a_0 \delta_0}{d_1} \right| \right\}.$$

By taking

$$\begin{cases} \mu_1 = \alpha \rho d_1 \lambda_0 + \alpha \rho d_1, \\ \mu_2 = \alpha \rho a_1 + \alpha \rho b_1 + \alpha \rho c_1 + \alpha \rho d_1 + \beta \rho + 3\rho, \end{cases}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} V_8 &\leq - (2\varepsilon c_0 - \alpha \rho c_1 - \alpha \rho d_1 \lambda_0) y^2 + (\alpha \rho b_1 + \beta \rho + \mu_1) z^2 + (\alpha \rho a_1 + 2\rho + \mu_2) w^2 \\ &\quad - \rho^2 w_t^2 - 2\rho |ww_t|. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_5 + V_6 + V_7 + V_8 \leq & -\rho^2 w_t^2 - 2\rho |ww_t| - (2\varepsilon c_0 - \alpha\rho c_1 - \alpha\rho d_1 \lambda_0) y^2 \\
 & - 2 \left[b_0 - \kappa - \varepsilon (a_1 + c_1) - \frac{1}{2} \rho (\alpha b_1 + \beta + \alpha d_1 \lambda_0 + \alpha d_1) \right] z^2 \\
 & - \left(2\varepsilon a_0 - \rho (2\alpha a_1 + 5 + \alpha b_1 + \alpha c_1 + \alpha d_1 + \beta) \right) w^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists a positive constant D_3 such that,

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_5 + V_6 + V_7 + V_8 \leq & -2D_3 (y^2 + z^2 + w^2 + \rho^2 w_t^2 + 2\rho |ww_t|) \\
 \leq & -2D_3 (y^2 + z^2 + W^2),
 \end{aligned}$$

provided that

$$\rho < \min \left\{ 1, \frac{2\varepsilon}{\alpha h_0}, \frac{2\varepsilon c_0}{\alpha c_1 + \alpha d_1 \lambda_0}, 2 \frac{b_0 - \kappa - \varepsilon (a_1 + c_1)}{\alpha b_1 + \beta + \alpha d_1 \lambda_0 + \alpha d_1}, \frac{2\varepsilon a_0}{\alpha (2a_1 + b_1 + c_1 + d_1) + 5 + \beta} \right\}.$$

Using condition iii) and Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$h^2(x) \leq h_0 H(x),$$

and consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
 |V_9| \leq & -d'(t) [2\beta H(x) + \alpha h_0 y^2 + (h^2(x) + y^2) + \alpha (h^2(x) + z^2) + \alpha \rho h^2(x)] \\
 & + |c'(t)| [y^2 + \alpha (y^2 + z^2)] + |b'(t)| [\alpha z^2 + \beta y^2] - \alpha q'(t) W^2 - \beta q'(t) z^2 \\
 & + |a'(t)| [z^2 + \beta (y^2 + z^2)] \\
 \leq & \lambda_2 [|a'(t)| + |b'(t)| + |c'(t)| + |q'(t)| - d'(t)] (y^2 + z^2 + W^2 + H(x)) \\
 \leq & 2 \frac{\lambda_2}{D_0} [|a'(t)| + |b'(t)| + |c'(t)| + |q'(t)| - d'(t)] V,
 \end{aligned}$$

such that $\lambda_2 = \max \{2\beta + (\alpha\rho + \alpha + 1)h_0, \alpha h_0 + \alpha + 2\beta + 2, 1 + 2\beta + 3\alpha\}$.

By taking $\frac{1}{\eta} = \frac{1}{D_0} \lambda_2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{V}_{(3)} \leq & -D_3 (y^2 + z^2 + W^2) + \frac{1}{\eta} \left(|a'(t)| + |b'(t)| + |c'(t)| + |q'(t)| - d'(t) \right) V \\
 & + (\beta y + z + \alpha W) p(t, x, y, z, w).
 \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

From H2, (7), (8) and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{U}_{(3)} &= \left(\dot{V}_{(3)} - \frac{1}{\eta} \gamma(t) V \right) G(t) \\
 &\leq \left(-D_3 (y^2 + z^2 + W^2) + (\beta y + z + \alpha W) p(t, x, y, z, w) \right) G(t) \\
 &\leq (\beta |y| + |z| + \alpha |W|) |p(t, x, y, z, w)| \\
 &\leq D_4 (|y| + |z| + |W|) |e(t)| \\
 &\leq D_4 (3 + y^2 + z^2 + W^2) |e(t)| \\
 &\leq 3D_4 |e(t)| + \frac{D_4}{D_2} U |e(t)|,
 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $G(t) = e^{-\frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^t \gamma(s) ds}$ and $D_4 = \max\{\alpha, \beta, 1\}$. Integrating (9) from 0 to t , and using the condition H2 and the Gronwall-Reid-Bellman inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 U(t, x, y, z, W) &\leq U(0, x(0), y(0), z(0), W(0)) + 3D_4 \eta_2 \\
 &\quad + \frac{D_4}{D_2} \int_0^t U(s, x(s), y(s), z(s), W(s)) |e(s)| ds \\
 &\leq \left(U(0, x(0), y(0), z(0), W(0)) + 3D_4 \eta_2 \right) e^{\frac{D_4}{D_2} \int_0^t |e(s)| ds} \\
 &\leq \left(U(0, x(0), y(0), z(0), W(0)) + 3D_4 \eta_2 \right) e^{\frac{D_4}{D_2} \eta_2} = K_1 < \infty.
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

In view of inequalities (7) and (10), we get

$$(x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + W^2) \leq \frac{1}{D_2} U \leq K_0^2, \tag{11}$$

where $K_0^2 = \frac{K_1}{D_2}$. Clearly (11) implies that

$$|x(t)| \leq K_0, |y(t)| \leq K_0, |z(t)| \leq K_0, |W(t)| \leq K_0 \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Hence,

$$|x(t)| \leq K_0, |x'(t)| \leq K_0, |x''(t)| \leq K_0, |X'''(t)| \leq K_0 \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \tag{12}$$

Now, we prove the square integrability of solutions and their derivatives of Equation (1).

First, from (8) we obtain

$$\dot{V}_{(3)} \leq -D_3 (y^2 + z^2 + w^2) + \frac{1}{\eta} \gamma(t) V + 2(\beta y + z + \alpha W) p(t, x, y, z, w),$$

thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{U}_{(3)} &= \left(\dot{V}_{(3)} - \frac{1}{\eta} \gamma(t) V \right) G(t) \\
 &\leq \left(-D_3 (y^2 + z^2 + w^2) + (\beta y + z + \alpha W) p(t, x, y, z, w) \right) G(t).
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Now, we define $F_t = F(t, x(t), y(t), z(t), w(t))$ as

$$F_t = U + \sigma \int_0^t (y^2(s) + z^2(s) + w^2(s)) ds,$$

where $\sigma > 0$. It is easy to see that F_t is positive definite, since $U = U(t, x, y, z, w)$ is already positive definite. Using the following estimate $e^{-\frac{\eta_1}{\eta}} \leq G(t) \leq 1$ by H1 and (13) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{F}_{t(3)} \leq & -D_3(y^2(t) + z^2(t) + w^2(t))e^{-\frac{\eta_1}{\eta}} + D_4(|y(t)| + |z(t)| + |W(t)|)|p(t, x, y, z, w)| \\ & + \sigma(y^2(t) + z^2(t) + w^2(t)), \end{aligned}$$

where D_4 is positive constant. By choosing $\sigma = D_3 e^{-\frac{\eta_1}{\eta}}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{F}_{t(3)} & \leq D_4(3 + y^2(t) + z^2(t) + W^2(t))|e(t)| \\ & \leq D_4\left(3 + \frac{1}{D_2}U\right)|e(t)| \\ & \leq 3D_4|e(t)| + \frac{D_4}{D_2}F_t|e(t)|. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Integrating the last inequality (14) from 0 to t , and using again the Gronwall-Reid-Bellman inequality and the condition H2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_t & \leq F_0 + 3D_4\eta_2 + \frac{D_4}{D_2} \int_0^t F_s|e(s)|ds \\ & \leq (F_0 + 3D_4\eta_2)e^{\frac{D_4}{D_2} \int_0^t |e(s)|ds} \\ & \leq (F_0 + 3D_4\eta_2)e^{\frac{D_4}{D_2}\eta_2} = K_2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^\infty y^2(s)ds < K_2, \quad \int_0^\infty z^2(s) < K_2 \text{ and } \int_0^\infty w^2(s)ds < K_2,$$

which implies that

$$\int_0^\infty x'^2(s)ds \leq K_2, \quad \int_0^\infty x''^2(s)ds \leq K_2, \quad \int_0^\infty x'''^2(s)ds \leq K_2. \tag{15}$$

Next, multiply (1) by $x(t)$ and integrate by parts from 0 to t . We obtain

$$\int_0^t d(s)x(s)h(x(s))ds = I_1(t) + I_2(t) + I_3(t) + I_4(t) + I_5(t) + L_0, \tag{16}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1(t) &= q(t)x'(t)X''(t) - q(t)x(t)X'''(t) - \int_0^t q'(s)x'''(s)ds - \rho \int_0^t q''(s)x''(s-r)ds \\
 &\quad - \int_0^t q(s)x''^2(s)ds - \rho \int_0^t q(s)x''(s)x''(s-r)ds, \\
 I_2(t) &= -a(t)x(t)x''(t) + \int_0^t a'''(s)ds + \int_0^t a(s)x'(s)x''(s)ds, \\
 I_3(t) &= -b(t)x(t)x'(t) + \int_0^t b''(s)ds + \int_0^t b(s)x'^2(s)ds, \\
 I_4(t) &= -\frac{1}{2}c(t)x^2(t) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t c'^2(s)ds, \\
 I_5(t) &= \int_0^t x(s)p(t, x(s), x'(s), x''(s), x'''(s))ds,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_0 &= q(0)x(0)X'''(0) - q(0)x'(0)X''(0) + a(0)x(0)x''(0) \\
 &\quad + b(0)x(0)x'(0) + \frac{1}{2}c(0)x^2(0).
 \end{aligned}$$

From (12), (15) and the conditions (i) and (H1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_1(t) &\leq (2 + \rho)q_1K_0^2 + (1 + \rho)K_0^2 \int_0^t |q'(s)|ds + \frac{1}{2}\rho q_1 \int_0^t x''^2(s)ds \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\rho q_1 \int_0^t x''^2(s-r)ds, \\
 &\leq (2 + \rho)q_1K_0^2 + (1 + \rho)K_0^2 \int_0^t |q'(s)|ds + \frac{1}{2}\rho q_1 \int_0^t x''^2(s)ds \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\rho q_1 K_0^2 r + \frac{1}{2}\rho q_1 \int_0^{t-r} x''^2(s)ds, \\
 I_2(t) &\leq a_1K_0^2 + K_0^2 \int_0^t |a'(s)|ds + a_1 \int_0^t x'(s)x''(s)ds, \\
 &\leq a_1K_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}a_1x'^2(t) + K_0^2 \int_0^t |a'(s)|ds, \\
 I_3(t) &\leq b_1K_0^2 + K_0^2 \int_0^t |b'(s)|ds + b_1 \int_0^t x'^2(s)ds, \\
 I_4(t) &\leq \frac{1}{2}c_1K_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}K_0^2 \int_0^t |c'(s)|ds, \\
 I_5(t) &\leq K_0 \int_0^t |e(s)|ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} I_1(t) &\leq (2 + \rho)q_1K_0^2 + (1 + \rho)K_0^2\eta_1 + \rho q_1K_2 + \frac{1}{2}\rho q_1K_0^2r = L_1, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} I_2(t) &\leq \frac{3}{2}a_1K_0^2 + K_0^2\eta_1 = L_2, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} I_3(t) &\leq K_0^2(b_1 + \eta_1) + b_1K_2 = L_3, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} I_4(t) &\leq \frac{1}{2}c_1K_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}K_0^2\eta_1 = L_4, \text{ and} \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} I_5(t) &\leq K_0\eta_2 = L_5. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} (I_1(t) + I_2(t) + I_3(t) + I_4(t) + I_5(t)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^5 L_i < \infty. \tag{17}$$

Consequently, (16), (17) and condition iii) give

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty x^2(s)ds &\leq \frac{1}{d_0\delta} \int_0^\infty d(s)x(s)h(x(s))ds \\ &\leq \frac{1}{d_0\delta} \sum_{i=0}^5 L_i < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of the theorem. ■

Remark 2.3.

If $p(t, x, y, z, w) = 0$, similar to above proof, then inequality (8) becomes

$$\dot{V}_{(3)} \leq -D_3(y^2 + z^2 + W^2) + \frac{1}{\eta}\gamma(t)V. \tag{18}$$

From H1, (7), (18) and the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{U}_{(3)} &= \left(\dot{V}_{(3)} - \frac{1}{\eta}\gamma(t)V \right) e^{-\frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^t \gamma(s) ds} \\ &\leq -D_3(y^2 + z^2 + W^2) e^{-\frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^t \gamma(s) ds} \\ &\leq -\mu(y^2 + z^2 + W^2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = D_3e^{-\frac{\eta_1}{\eta}}$. It follows that the only solution of system (3) for which $\dot{U}_{(3)}(t, x, y, z, W) = 0$ is the solution $x = y = z = w = 0$. The above discussion guarantees that the trivial solution of Equation (1) is uniformly asymptotically stable, and the same conclusion as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 can be drawn for square integrability of solutions of Equation (1).

3. Example

We consider the following fourth order non-autonomous differential equation of neutral type

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\left(\frac{e^t}{2e^{2t} + 1} + \frac{2}{5} \right) (x'''(t) + \frac{1}{322}x'''(t-r)) \right)' + (e^{-t} \sin t + 2) x''' \\ & + \left(\frac{\sin(t) + 7e^t + 7e^{-t}}{e^t + e^{-t}} \right) x'' + (e^{-2t} \sin^3 t + 2) x' \\ & + \left(\frac{1}{20 \cosh t} + \frac{1 + 2(1 + t^2)}{20(1 + t^2)} \right) \left(\frac{x}{x^2 + 1} + \frac{x}{10} \right) \\ & = \frac{2 \sin t}{t^2 + (x(t) + x'(t))^2 + (x''(t) x'''(t))^2 + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking

$$\begin{aligned} p(t, x(t), x'(t), x''(t), x'''(t)) &= \frac{2 \sin t}{t^2 + (x(t) + x'(t))^2 + (x''(t) x'''(t))^2 + 1} \\ &\leq e(t) = \frac{2 \sin t}{t^2 + 1}, \\ h(x) &= \frac{x}{x^2 + 1} + \frac{x}{10}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_0 - \frac{a_0 \delta_0}{d_1} = -\frac{53}{10} \leq h'(x) &= \frac{1 - x^2}{(1 + x^2)^2} + \frac{1}{10}(x) \leq \frac{h_0}{2} = \frac{11}{10}, \\ a_0 = 1 \leq a(t) &= e^{-t} \sin t + 2 \leq a_1 = 3, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_0 = \frac{13}{2} \leq b(t) &= \frac{\sin(t) + 7e^t + 7e^{-t}}{e^t + e^{-t}} \leq b_1 = \frac{15}{2}, \\ c_0 = 1 \leq c(t) &= e^{-2t} \sin^3 t + 2 \leq c_1 = 3, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d_0 = \frac{1}{10} \leq d(t) &= \frac{1}{20 \cosh t} + \frac{1 + 2(1 + t^2)}{20(1 + t^2)} \leq d_1 = \frac{1}{5}, \\ q_0 = \frac{2}{5} \leq q(t) &= \frac{e^t}{2e^{2t} + 1} + \frac{2}{5} \leq q_1 = \frac{4}{5}, \end{aligned}$$

and by taking

$$\begin{aligned} b_0 = \frac{13}{2} > \kappa &= \frac{d_1 h_0 a_1}{c_0} + \frac{c_1 + \delta_0}{a_0} = \frac{291}{50}, \quad \text{for } \delta_0 = \frac{3}{2}, \\ \varepsilon = \frac{1}{20} < \min &\left\{ \frac{1}{a_0}, \frac{d_1 h_0}{c_0}, \frac{b_0 - \kappa}{a_1 + c_1} \right\}, \\ \lambda_0 = \frac{53}{10} &= \max \left\{ \frac{h_0}{2}, \left| h_0 - \frac{a_0 \delta_0}{d_1} \right| \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \frac{21}{20} = \frac{1}{a_0} + \varepsilon, \\ \beta &= \frac{49}{100} = \frac{d_1 h_0}{c_0} + \varepsilon, \\ \rho &= \frac{1}{322} \\ &< \min \left\{ 1, \frac{2\varepsilon}{\alpha h_0}, \frac{2\varepsilon c_0}{\alpha(c_1 + d_1 \lambda_0)}, 2 \frac{b_0 - \kappa - \varepsilon(a_1 + c_1)}{\alpha(b_1 + d_1 \lambda_0 + d_1) + \beta}, \frac{2\varepsilon a_0}{\alpha(2a_1 + b_1 + c_1 + d_1) + 5 + \beta} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows easily that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} |e(t)| dt &= \int_0^{+\infty} \left| \frac{2 \sin t}{t^2 + 1} \right| dt \leq \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{2}{t^2 + 1} dt = \pi, \\ \int_0^{+\infty} |a'(t)| dt &= \int_0^{+\infty} |(\cos t) e^{-t} - (\sin t) e^{-t}| dt \leq \int_0^{+\infty} 2e^{-t} dt = 2, \\ \int_0^{+\infty} |b'(t)| dt &= \int_0^{+\infty} \left| \frac{(e^t + e^{-t}) \cos t - (e^t - e^{-t}) \sin t}{(e^t + e^{-t})^2} \right| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\frac{1}{e^t + e^{-t}} + \frac{e^t - e^{-t}}{(e^t + e^{-t})^2} \right) dt \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, \\ \int_0^{+\infty} |c'(t)| dt &= \int_0^{+\infty} |3(\cos t \sin^2 t) e^{-2t} - 2(\sin^3 t) e^{-2t}| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} 5e^{-2t} dt = \frac{5}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{+\infty} (-d'(t)) dt &= \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{20} \left(\frac{\sinh t}{\cosh^2 t} + \frac{2t}{(1 + t^2)^2} \right) dt = \frac{1}{10}, \\ \int_0^{+\infty} |q'(t)| dt &= \int_0^{+\infty} \left| \frac{e^t}{2e^{2t} + 1} - \frac{4e^{3t}}{(2e^{2t} + 1)^2} \right| dt = \frac{1}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\int_0^{+\infty} (|a'(t)| + |b'(t)| + |c'(t)| - d'(t) + |q'(t)|) dt < +\infty.$$

Thus all the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 hold, so solutions of (19) are bounded and square integrable.

4. Conclusion

It is well known that the problem of asymptotic behavior of solutions for neutral differential equations is very important in the theory and applications of differential equations. In the present work, conditions were obtained for the stability, boundedness and square integrability of solutions for certain fourth-order neutral differential equations with delay. Using Lyapunov second or direct method, a Lyapunov functional was defined and used to obtain our results.

REFERENCES

- Abou-El-Ela, A.M.A., Sadek, A.I. and Mahmoud, A.M. (2009). On the stability of solutions of certain fourth-order nonlinear nonautonomous delay differential equation, *Int. J. Appl. Math.*, Vol. 22, No. 2, pp. 245–258.
- Andres, J. and Vlček, V. (1989). On the existence of square integrable solutions and their derivatives to fourth and fifth order differential equations, *Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica*, Vol. 28, No. 1, pp. 65–86.
- Bereketoglu, H. (1998). Asymptotic stability in a fourth order delay differential equation, *Dynam. Systems Appl.* Vol. 7, No. 1, pp. 105–115.
- Burton, T.A. (1985). *Stability and Periodic Solutions of Ordinary and Functional Differential Equations*, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Volume 178, Academic Press, INC.
- Burton, T.A. (2005). *Volterra Integral and Differential Equations*, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, Vol. 202, 2nd edition.
- Cartwright, M.L. (1956). On the stability of solutions of certain differential equations of the fourth order, *Quart. J. Mech. Appl. Math.*, Vol. 9, pp. 185–194.
- Chin, P.S.M. (1989). Stability results for the solutions of certain fourth-order autonomous differential equations, *Internat. J. Control.* Vol. 49, No. 4, pp. 1163–1173.
- El'sgol'ts, L. (1966). *Introduction to the Theory of Differential Equations with Deviating Arguments*, Translated from the Russian by Robert J. McLaughlin Holden-Day, Inc., San Francisco, Calif.-London-Amsterdam.
- Ezeilo, J.O.C. (1962). A stability result for solutions of a certain fourth order differential equation, *J. London Math. Soc.*, Vol. 37, pp. 28–32.
- Ezeilo, J.O.C. (1962). On the boundedness and the stability of solutions of some differential equations of the fourth order, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, Vol. 5, pp. 136–146.
- Ezeilo, J.O.C. (1964). Stability results for the solutions of some third and fourth order differential equations, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* Vol. 66, No. 4, pp. 233–249.
- Ezeilo, J.O.C. and Tejumola, H.O. (1973). On the boundedness and the stability properties of solutions of certain fourth order differential equations, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* Vol. 95, No. 4, pp. 131–145.
- Graef, J.R., Beldjerd, D. and Remili, M. (2015). On stability, ultimate boundedness, and existence of periodic solutions of certain third order differential equations with delay, *PanAmerican Mathematical Journal* Vol. 25, pp. 82–94.
- Graef, J.R., Oudjedi, L.D. and Remili, M. (2015). Stability and square integrability of solutions of nonlinear third order differential equations, *Dynamics of Continuous, Discrete and Impulsive Systems Series A: Mathematical Analysis* Vol. 22, pp. 313–324.
- Chen, G., van Gaansb, O. and Verduyn Lunel, S. (2014). Asymptotic behavior and stability of second order neutral delay differential equations, *Indagationes Mathematicae*, Vol. 25, pp. 405–426.
- Graef, J.R., Oudjedi, L.D. and Remili, M. (2018). Stability and square integrability of solutions to third order neutral delay differential equations, *Tatra Mt. Math. Publ.* Vol. 71, pp. 81–97.

- Hale, J.K. (1977). *Theory of Functional Differential Equations*, Springer Verlag, New York.
- Hara, T. (1974). On the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of some third and fourth order non-autonomous differential equations, *Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ.*, Vol. 9, pp. 649–673.
- Kang, H. and Si, L. (2010). Stability of solutions to certain fourth order delay differential equations, *Ann. Differential Equations*, Vol. 26, No. 4, pp. 407–413.
- Omeike, P.S.M. (2008). Boundedness of solutions of the fourth order differential equation with oscillatory restoring and forcing terms, *Analele stiintifice ale univesitatii "AL.I. CUZA" DIN IASI (S.N.) Matematica*, Tomul LIV, f.1, pp. 187–195.
- Oudjedi, L., Beldjerd, D. and Remili, M. (2014). On the stability of solutions for non-autonomous delay differential equations of third-order, *Differential Equations and Control Processesol.* Vol. 2014, No. 1, pp. 22–34.
- Rahmane, M., Fatmi, L. and Remili, M. (2017). On stability and boundedness of solutions of fourth-order differential equations with multiple delays, *International Conference on Mathematics and Information Technology (ICMIT)*, Vol. 2017 International, pp. 376–383.
- Rahmane, M. and Remili, M. (2015). On stability and boundedness of solutions of certain non autonomous fourth-order delay differential equations, *Acta Universitatis Matthiae Belii, series Mathematics*, Vol. 23, pp. 101–114.
- Remili, M. and Beldjerd, D. (2015). A boundedness and stability results for a kind of third order delay differential equations, *Applications and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 10, Issue 2, pp. 772–782.
- Remili, M. and Beldjerd, D. (2016). On ultimate boundedness and existence of periodic solutions of kind of third order delay differential equations, *Acta Universitatis Matthiae Belii, series Mathematics*, pp. 1–15.
- Remili, M. and Beldjerd, D. (2017). Stability and ultimate boundedness of solutions of some third order differential equations with delay, *Journal of the Association of Arab Universities for Basic and Applied Sciences*, Vol. 23, pp. 90-95.
- Remili, M. and Oudjedi, L.D. (2016). On asymptotic stability of solutions to third order nonlinear delay differential equation, *Filomat*, Vol. 30, No. 12, pp. 3217–3226.
- Remili, M., Oudjedi, L.D. and Beldjerd, D. (2016). On the qualitative behaviors of solutions to a kind of nonlinear third order differential equations with delay, *Communications in Applied Analysis*, Vol. 20, pp. 53-64.
- Remili, M. and Rahmane, M. (2016). Sufficient conditions for the boundedness and square integrability of solutions of fourth-order differential equations, *Proyecciones Journal of Mathematics*, Vol. 35, No. 1, pp. 41–61.
- Remili, M. and Rahmane, M. (2016). Stability and square integrability of solutions of nonlinear fourth order differential equations, *Bull. Comput. Appl. Math.*, Vol. 4, No. 1, pp. 21–37.
- Remili, M. and Rahmane, M. (2016). Boundedness and square integrability of solutions of nonlinear fourth order differential equations, *Nonlinear Dynamics and Systems Theory*, Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 192–205.
- Sadek A.I. (2004). On the stability of solutions of certain fourth order delay differential equations, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, Vol. 148, No. 2, pp. 587–597.
- Sinha, A.S.C. (1973). On stability of solutions of some third and fourth order delay-differential equations, *Information and Control* Vol. 23, pp. 165–172.

- Tejumola, H.O. and Tchegnani, B. (2000). Stability, boundedness and existence of periodic solutions of some third and fourth order nonlinear delay differential equations, *J. Nigerian Math. Soc.*, Vol. 19, pp. 9–19.
- Tunç, C. (2010). On the stability of solutions of non-autonomous differential equations of fourth order with delay, *Funct. Differ. Equ.*, Vol. 17, No. 1-2, pp. 195–212.
- Vlček, V. (1988). On the boundedness of solutions of a certain fourth-order nonlinear differential equation, *Acta Universitatis Palackianae Olomucensis. Facultas Rerum Naturalium. Mathematica*, Vol. 27, No. 1, pp. 273–288.
- Wu, X. and Xiong, K. (1998). Remarks on stability results for the solutions of certain fourth-order autonomous differential equations, *Internat. J. Control*. Vol. 69, No. 2, pp. 353–360.