



**Applications and Applied Mathematics:  
An International Journal (AAM)**

ISSN: 1932-9466

<http://www.pvamu.edu/aam>

**AAM Manuscript Review Evaluation Form**  
**Please complete (with additional sheets, if necessary) in**  
**MS Word format and return**

Dear Reviewer,

Please note that review must be conducted confidentially. The manuscript you accepted to review should not be disclosed to a third party. If you wish to elicit an opinion from colleagues or students regarding the manuscript, please solicit permission from the AAM Editor-in-Chief. AAM does not share the identity of the reviewer with the authors. This form will stay confidential with the Editor-in-Chief. You should not attempt to contact the author.

Please be assured that when you submit your review, any recommendation you make will contribute to the final decision made. It might be more helpful to the authors and the decision making if you detail your comments. **Your detailed and specific REPORT other than completion of the FORM will help the authors to revise their manuscript, if they have to, and will help the Editor to make a fair decision.**

Your time and efforts are highly appreciated. Please send the completed form with all your attachment sheets to [amhaghighi@pvamu.edu](mailto:amhaghighi@pvamu.edu).

**Today's Date:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Manuscript's Number:** AAM-R \_\_\_\_\_

Manuscript's Title: \_\_\_\_\_

Authors' Names: \_\_\_\_\_

**Reviewer's Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer's Affiliation: \_\_\_\_\_

Reviewer's E-mail: \_\_\_\_\_

Date the Review was Assigned: \_\_\_\_\_

Date the Review Report Submitted: \_\_\_\_\_

Please answer each question by inserting a check mark in appropriate box and provide detail in its place below.

	Question	Answer		
		Yes	No	Needs Revision/ N/A
1.	Does the Title of the manuscript reflect the contents? If not, please explain why and suggest changes in item 1* below.			
2.	Is the quality of language (grammar, spelling, punctuations, and English structure) sufficient to easily understand the manuscript? If not, please indicate some examples in item 2*.			

3.	Does the Abstract read well and reflect the contents of the manuscript? If not, please give suggestions to modify it in item 3*.			
4.	Are the AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (MSC) numbers consistent with the title of the manuscript? If not, please make some suggestions in item 4* below. For MSC numbers, please refer to: <a href="http://www.ams.org/msc/msc2010.html">http://www.ams.org/msc/msc2010.html</a> ;			
5.	Is the Literature Review relevant, adequate and up to date? If not, please indicate why in item 5* below.			
6.	Is the Analysis comprehensive and mathematically correct? If not, please reason why not and make suggestions in item 6* below.			
7.	Is the Conclusion section adequate?			
8.	Are the References complete (year, volume, number, pages)?			
9.	Are the Tables and Figures in the right locations?			
10.	Is the manuscript well organized? If not, please reason in item 10* below.			
11.	Is the manuscript interesting and contain sufficiently novel ideas to warrant publication? Please give reasons in item 11* below.			
12.	Does the manuscript need <b>major revision</b> ? Please specify the necessary revisions needed in item 12* below.			
13.	Should the manuscript be <b>rejected</b> and not be published in the AAM? Please give reasons in item 24* below.			
14.	Should the manuscript be revised, would you be willing to review the revision?			

1\*. If the Title of the manuscript does not reflect the contents, please suggest changes.

2\*. If the quality of language (grammar, spelling, punctuations, and English structure) is not sufficient to easily understand the manuscript, please indicate some examples here or make marks on the manuscript and attach to this form.

3\*. If the Abstract does not read well and does not reflect the contents of the manuscript, please make suggestions to modify it.

4\*. If the MSC numbers are not consistent with the title of the manuscript, please make some suggestions.

5\*. If the Literature Review is not relevant, adequate and up to date, please indicate why and make suggestion to revise it.

6\*. If the Analysis is not comprehensive and/or mathematically not correct, please reason why not and make suggestion to revise it.

10\*. If the manuscript is not well organized, please reason and make suggestions to revise it.

11\*. Please indicate if the manuscript is interesting level, adequate novelty or its lack of.

12\*. Please specify how the manuscript may be revised, if possible.

13\*. Please give reasons if you believe the manuscript should be rejected.