

Prairie View A&M University
Office of Student Affairs and Institutional Relations
Panthers at Work [PAWS] Evaluation
Spring 2009 Cleanup Campaign – April 4, 2009

Highlights from the spring 2009 Cleanup Campaign:

- About 78 percent of the participants who completed the evaluations were students
- About 11 percent of the participants were community members
- About 52 percent of the participants were male
- About 1/2 of the participants were freshmen or sophomores
- Over 96 percent of the participants agreed or strongly agreed that " **Project raised my awareness of my responsibility to the community (civic responsibility)**"
- Over 96 percent of the participants agreed or strongly agreed that " **Training expanded my teamwork skills**"
- About 94 percent of the participants agreed or strongly agreed that " **Site coordinator was effective in keeping crew focused and on task**"
- About 84 percent of the participants agreed or strongly agreed that " **Registration and assignment process were well organized**"
- About 78 percent of the participants agreed or strongly agreed that " **Community service project met my expectations**"
- With regard to what did you find most meaningful about this project, teamwork, civic responsibility, or both teamwork and civic responsibility the participants stated: teamwork (32%); civic responsibility (42%); and both teamwork and civic responsibility (26%)
- With regard to "**How will this project impact your participation in future community service projects?**" 67 percent of the participants stated that they will volunteer for more community service projects
- Additional comments centered on: marketing, organization, materials, gratification with being involved, community beautification, meeting new people, and bonding with others

Prairie View A&M University
Office of Student Affairs and Institutional Relations
Panthers at Work [PAWS] Evaluation
Spring 2009 Cleanup Campaign – April 4, 2009

Table 1

Status					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	PV Student	83	75.5	77.6	77.6
	PV Faculty	3	2.7	2.8	80.4
	PV Staff	7	6.4	6.5	86.9
	Community Member	12	10.9	11.2	98.1
	Other	2	1.8	1.9	100.0
	Total	107	97.3	100.0	
	Missing	3	2.7		
	TOTAL	110	100.0		

Table 2

Gender					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Male	57	51.8	52.8	52.8
	Female	51	46.4	47.2	100.0
	Total	108	98.2	100.0	
	Missing	2	1.8		
	TOTAL	110	100.0		

Prairie View A&M University
Office of Student Affairs and Institutional Relations
Panthers at Work [PAWS] Evaluation
Spring 2009 Cleanup Campaign – April 4, 2009

Table 3

PV Classification		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Freshman	20	18.2	22.7	22.7
	Sophomore	23	20.9	26.1	48.9
	Junior	16	14.5	18.2	67.0
	Senior	20	18.2	22.7	89.8
	Graduate Student	2	1.8	2.3	92.0
	Other	7	6.4	8.0	100.0
	Total	88	80.0	100.0	
	Missing	22	20.0		
	TOTAL	110	100.0		

Table 4

Project raised my awareness of my responsibility to the community (civic responsibility)					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	1. Strongly disagree	2	1.8	1.9	1.9
	2. Disagree	2	1.8	1.9	3.7
	3. Agree	21	19.1	19.4	23.1
	4. Strongly agree	83	75.5	76.9	100.0
	Total	108	98.2	100.0	
	Missing	2	1.8		
	TOTAL	110	100.0		
	[m=3.71; sd=.597]				

Prairie View A&M University
Office of Student Affairs and Institutional Relations
Panthers at Work [PAWS] Evaluation
Spring 2009 Cleanup Campaign – April 4, 2009

Table 5

Training expanded my teamwork skills					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	1. Strongly disagree	4	3.6	3.7	3.7
	2. Disagree	4	3.6	3.7	7.3
	3. Agree	30	27.3	27.5	34.9
	4. Strongly agree	71	64.5	65.1	100.0
	Total	109	99.1	100.0	
	Missing	1	.9		
	TOTAL	110	100.0		
	[m=3.54; sd=.740]				

Table 6

Site coordinator was effective in keeping crew focused and on task					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	1. Strongly disagree	2	1.8	1.9	1.9
	2. Disagree	5	4.5	4.6	6.5
	3. Agree	22	20.0	20.4	26.9
	4. Strongly agree	79	71.8	73.1	100.0
	Total	108	98.2	100.0	
	Missing	2	1.8		
	TOTAL	110	100.0		
	[m=3.65; sd=.660]				

Prairie View A&M University
Office of Student Affairs and Institutional Relations
Panthers at Work [PAWS] Evaluation
Spring 2009 Cleanup Campaign – April 4, 2009

Table 7

Registration and assignment process were well organized					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	1. Strongly disagree	6	5.5	5.5	5.5
	2. Disagree	12	10.9	11.0	16.5
	3. Agree	17	15.5	15.6	32.1
	4. Strongly agree	74	67.3	67.9	100.0
	Total	109	99.1	100.0	
	Missing	1	.9		
	TOTAL	110	100.0		
	[m=3.46; .898]				

Table 8

Community service project met my expectations					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	1. Strongly disagree	2	1.8	1.9	1.9
	2. Disagree	3	2.7	2.8	4.6
	3. Agree	19	17.3	17.6	22.2
	4. Strongly agree	84	76.4	77.8	100.0
	Total	108	98.2	100.0	
	Missing	2	1.8		
	TOTAL	110	100.0		
	[m=3.71; .612]				

Prairie View A&M University
Office of Student Affairs and Institutional Relations
Panthers at Work [PAWS] Evaluation
Spring 2009 Cleanup Campaign – April 4, 2009

Table 9

What did you find most meaningful about this project?					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Teamwork	35	31.8	31.8	31.8
	Civic responsibility	46	41.8	41.8	73.6
	Both teamwork and civic responsibility	28	25.5	25.5	99.1
	Other	1	.9	.9	100.00
	TOTAL	110	100.0		

Table 10

How will this project impact your participation in future community service projects?					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	As a result of participating in this project, I will volunteer for other community service projects.	73	66.4	67.0	67.0
	I am already active in the community. This project will have no impact on my future participation.	36	32.7	33.0	100.0
	Other	109	99.1	100.0	
	Missing	1	.9		
	TOTAL	110	100.0		

Prairie View A&M University
Office of Student Affairs and Institutional Relations
Panthers at Work [PAWS] Evaluation
Spring 2009 Cleanup Campaign – April 4, 2009

Table 11

What would you change about the format of this community service project?					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	Nothing	90	81.8	81.8	81.8
	Something	19	17.3	17.3	99.1
	Missing	1	.9	100.0	
	TOTAL	110	100.0		

Table 12

Specify what you would change	
	...better instructions in the future.
	A little more organized
	Being more organized
	Biggest problem was the actual work started too late and supplies like mulch got delay to the sites
	Donuts for breakfast, first come first serve
	I wish they would have given notice in a reasonable time frame.
	Knowing where we are supposed to be first time around
	Make it more organized
	More breakfast and order to program
	More organization
	More organized, not enough supplies this year :(
	Publicize it more and earlier
	Starting on time
	Stronger bags
	Structure, signs with numbers for teams to follow instead of announcing at the time.
	Structure; requires better coordination & communication

*Prairie View A&M University
Office of Student Affairs and Institutional Relations
Panthers at Work [PAWS] Evaluation
Spring 2009 Cleanup Campaign – April 4, 2009*

	Supplies delivered to sites beforehand.
	That registration will be more organized
	The meet up site was not well advertised.
	The way groups are assigned. Organization of the registration process

Table 13

Any additional comments?					
		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
	No	92	83.6	83.6	83.6
	Yes	14	12.7	12.7	96.4
	Missing	4	3.6	3.6	100.0
	TOTAL	110	100.0	100.0	

Table 14

Comments	
	Excellent Project
	Exceptional team work and positive attitude with so many people
	Great idea to do it Spring!
	Great to help the community
	I enjoyed this experience and hope to be kept current of the activities occurring in Prairie View, TX
	I had fun with my group
	I love this program because we all work together and the shirts are cute. (We all look alike) LOL
	In spite of the registration process, I had a very good time.
	Nioe :)
	Students were very active in participating in this project. I was very proud of them all.
	Thank you. Glad Spring was added.
	Thanks for the opportunity

Prairie View A&M University
Office of Student Affairs and Institutional Relations
Panthers at Work [PAWS] Evaluation
Spring 2009 Cleanup Campaign – April 4, 2009

	The city looks very nice now.
	The city once again has beauty added.
	This effort was great! Love Spring PAW
	We are blessed!
	You should do more community service often!
	A chance to meet students & learn about them (and a faculty member also)
	Bonding with the students
	Just having fun
	Meeting new people on campus
	I want to continue to participate
	Learning how to meet new people
	The registration should be properly organized.
	Will continue doing community service

Table 15

PV student classification by gender					
		Gender			
	Classification	Female	Male	Total	
	Freshman	18	2	20	
	Sophomore	9	14	23	
	Junior	7	9	16	
	Senior	9	11	20	
	Graduate student	0	2	2	
	Other	3	4	7	
	TOTAL	46	42	88	